PRINCIPALS' MANAGEMENT STYLES AND STUDENTS' UNREST IN PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN NAIROBI PROVINCE

By Selpher Khayeli Cheloti

A Research Project Submitted in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Education in Education Administration University Of Nairobi

DECLARATION

This research project is my original work and has not been presented for a degree
in any other university.
Selpher K. Cheloti
This research project has been submitted for examination with our approval as
University Supervisors
Dr. Rose M. Obae
Lecturer,
Department of Educational Administration and Planning,
University of Nairobi
Mr. Edward N. Kanori
Lecturer,
Department of Educational Administration and Planning,
University of Nairobi

DEDICATION

This project is dedicated to my beloved husband Peter and our children Naomi, Anne and Deborah, who gave me a lot of encouragement, moral and financial support, bearing with my long hours of study that enabled me to complete this work. May this project remain in our home library as a constant reminder that you are the most important people in my life. May the Lord be with you.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank God who gave me grace and strength for each day of my entire course and the wisdom and patience to write this project. My classmates, the Master of Education group 18 were wonderful people to learn with. Special thanks go to Mrs. Agwanda and Mrs. Odhiambo, who were not only my classmates but also my colleagues at work and friends. Their encouragement and support enabled me to strive on whenever things got a little challenging. I wish to thank my entire family and friends for standing with me in different but special ways, and especially my mother Milcah whose firm believe in hard work and academic excellence kept propelling me. Lots of thanks go to my Nephew Michael and my sister Meddy who spent long hours helping me to type this work.

I thank my respondents especially principals of secondary schools in Nairobi who not only allowed me to collect data from their schools but also responded to my questionnaires. I also thank the officers from Ministry of Education and Kenya Education Staff Institute for sparing time for my interview. I am full of gratitude for my course lecturers; Prof. Kimani, Dr. Wanjala, Dr. Reche. Dr. Nyaga, Dr. Gichuhi, Mr. Kanori, Mr. Khatete and Mrs. Njagi. Their dedication and teamwork in teaching us was such an example to emulate.

Finally and with great humility, I thank my project supervisors Dr. Obae Rose and Mr. Kanori Edward who worked tirelessly, sacrificially and meticulously to ensure that this project is refined and ready in good time. Their determination and zeal to work is incredible. May God richly bless them and their families. Once again thank you all.

ABSTRACT

In spite of the government's effort to stem out the culture of student unrest in schools, the nature of the unrests have taken a dramatic turn for the worse. The study sought to establish the management styles used by principals and their influence on students' unrest in public secondary schools in Nairobi province. Specifically, the study sought to identify management styles used by principals; determine the causes of students' unrest; establish if autocratic management styles influence students' unrest and to determine the influence of democratic management styles on students' unrest in Nairobi province. Literature on management styles and students' unrest in secondary schools was reviewed by studying the global, regional, national perspective and narrowing down to students' unrest in Nairobi Province.

A descriptive research design was selected for the study. From the population, a sample size of 681 was selected using stratified sampling, simple random sampling and purposive sampling method. Research data was collected using questionnaires and interview guide. Questionnaires were prepared for head teachers, teachers, and students while the interview guides were prepared for Kenya Education Staff Institute and Ministry of Education officials. Validity and reliability of data instruments were tested and ascertained. Questionnaires were then administered using drop and pick method while the interviews were

conducted with the targeted respondents. Inferential techniques as well as descriptive statistics were used to analyze data and the results presented in tables and pie charts.

The study found that there was no clear management style that is predominant in the schools surveyed given that the administration and the students had differing views of what style is used in their schools. The study found that among the causes for unrest in schools include mocks exams, diet, bullying, high handedness of principals, pressure from other schools, transfer of principal, lack of communication between students and the principals, poor facilities like laboratories and libraries, and drug and substance abuse. All the respondents were also in agreement that a principal's management style influences student's unrest in secondary schools. For the students, the results indicate that majority were also in agreement. As regards the gender, majority of the respondents were also in agreement that gender, qualifications, experience and age influenced unrest in secondary schools. The study concludes that it is not clear what style of management is used in schools. The study also concludes that the head teachers' style of management has an influence on unrest in secondary schools. So is the case for the principals' demographics. The study recommends that school administrations need to enhance communication among various stakeholders in the school through dialogue. The study also recommends that the schools set up guiding and counseling units in schools to help students tone down their anger and deviance. Given that mock examinations was another contributing factor to unrest, these can be done away with and internal tests be used to prepare students for the main exams. The Ministry of Education should also rethink on its policy to ban corporal punishment in schools.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Conte	nt	Page
Title p	age	i
Declar	ration	ii
Dedica	ation	iii
Ackno	wledgement	iv
Abstra	ct	vi
Table	of contents	ix
List of	tables	xv
List of	figures	xvi
Abbre	viations	xvii
	CHAPTER ONE	
	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background to the study	1
1.2	Statement of the problem	7
1.3	Purpose of the study	8
1.4	Objectives of the study	8
1.5	Research questions	9
1.6	Significance of the study	10
1.7	Limitation of the study	10
1.8	Delimitation of the study	11

1.9	Assumption of the study	11
1.10	Definition of terms	11
1.11	Organization of the study	12
	CHAPTER TWO	
	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 In	troduction	14
2.2 Pr	incipals management functions	14
2.3 M	anagement styles	15
2.3.1	Autocratic/Authoritarian management styles	18
2.3.2	Democratic management styles	19
2.4 St	udents unrests	20
2.4.1	Global perspective of students unrest	21
2.4.2	Regional perspective of students unrest in schools	21
2.4.3	Violence in Kenyan schools	22
2.4.4	Student violence in Nairobi Province	23
2.5 St	udies conducted in related fields	24
2.6 Th	neoretical framework	26
2.7 Co	onceptual framework	28
	CHAPTER THREEE	
	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 In	troduction	29

3.2 Research design	29
3.3 Target population	30
3.4 Sample size and sampling procedure	31
3.5 Research instruments	33
3.5.1 Instrument validity	34
3.5.2 Instrument reliability	34
3.6 Data collection procedures	35
3.7 Data analysis techniques	36
CHAPTER FOUR	
DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION	
4.1 Introduction	37
4.2 Analysis of teachers responses	38
4.2.1 Period of teaching in the school	38
4.2.2 Experience of students' unrest	39
4.2.3 Frequency of students' unrest	39
4.2.4 Reasons for students' unrest	40
4.2.5 Management styles used	41
4.2.6 Predominant management style	42
4.2.7 Best management styles	43
4.2.8 Influence of management style on students' unrest	44
4.2.9 Relationship between principal, teachers and students	45

4.2.10 Ways to minimize students' unrest in school	49
4.3 Analysis of students' responses	49
4.3.1 Experience of students' unrests	49
4.3.2 Frequency of students' unrest	50
4.3.3 Reasons for unrest	51
4.3.4 Relationship between principal, teachers and students	52
4.3.5 Predominant management style	55
4.3.6 Effect of management style on students' unrest	55
4.3.7 Ways to minimize students unrest	57
4.4 Analysis of principals responses	57
4.4.1 Gender of principals	57
4.4.2 Age of principals	58
4.4.3 Principals' qualifications	58
4.4.4 Principals' length of service	59
4.4.5 Experience of students' unrest	60
4.4.6 Frequency of unrest	60
4.4.7 Causes of unrest	61
4.4.8 Problems that can not be handled	61
4.4.9 Principals' characteristics and influence on unrest	62
4.4.10 Predominant management style	63
4 4 11 Best management style	64

4.4.12 Roles played by management to enhance harmony in schools	66
4.5 Analysis of MOE and KESI officers responses	67
4.6 Summary of the analysis	69
CHAPTER FIVE	
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 Introduction	70
5.2 Summary	70
5.3 Conclusions	79
5.3.1 Management styles used	80
5.3.2 Causes of unrest	80
5.3.3. Influence of management style on unrest	81
5.3.4 Influence of principals' age, gender, qualification and	
experience on students' unrest	81
5.4 Recommendations	83
5.5 Areas recommended for further studies	85
BIBLIOGRAPHY	86
APPENDICES	90
Appendix 1 Letters of introduction	90
Appendix 2 Questionnaire for principals	92
Appendix 3 Questionnaire for class teachers	96
Appendix 4. Ouestionnaire for students	99

Appendix 5	Interview schedule	101
Appendix 6	Research schedule/time frame	102
Appendix 7	Budget	103

LIST OF TABLES

Tab le	Page
Table 1: Teachers and student population per category of	
schools in Nairobi province	30
Table 2: Period of teaching the school	38
Table 3: Whether the teachers had experienced students' unrests	
in the schools	39
Table 4: Extent to which management styles are used in schools	41
Table 5: Best management style	
Table 6: Descriptive statistics on relationship between principal,	
teachers and students	46
Table 7: Whether the students had experienced unrests in their school	50
Table 8: Relationship between principals, teachers, and students	52
Table 9: Predominant management style	55
Table 10: Extent to which principals' styles of management	
influences students' Unrest	56
Table 11: Extent to which principals use management styles	64
Table 12: Best management style	65
Table 13: Roles played by management to enhance harmony in schools	66

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
Figure 1: Conceptual framework	28
Figure 2: Respondents composition.	37
Figure 3: Frequency of unrest observed by teachers	40
Figure 4: Predominant management styles used by principals	43
Figure 5: Influence of management styles on students' unrest	45
Figure 6: Relationship between principals, teachers and students	48
Figure 7: Frequency of students unrest	51
Figure 8: Gender of principals	57
Figure 9: Age of principals	58
Figure 10: Academic qualifications of principals	59
Figure 11: Length of service as principal	59
Figure 12: Experience of unrest	60
Figure 13: Frequency of unrest	61
Figure 14: Discipline problems principals could not deal with	62
Figure 15: Length of service	67

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

BA - Bachelor of Arts

BED - Bachelor of Education

BSC - Bachelor of Science

CRC - Convention on the Rights of the Child

EFA - Education for All

KCPE - Kenya Certificate of Primary Education

KCSE - Kenya Certificate of Secondary Education

KESI - Kenya Education Staff Institute

MED - Master of Education

MOE - Ministry of Education

MSC - Master of Science

NCCK - National Council of Churches of Kenya

PDE - Provincial Director of Education

PHD - Doctor of Philosophy

ROK - Republic of Kenya

TSC - Teachers Service Commission

UNESCO - United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural

Organization

USA - United States of America