ASSESSING THE EFFECT OF FINANCIAL LITERACY ON PERSONAL INVESTMENT DECISIONS OF SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS UNDER TEACHERS SERVICE COMMISSION IN MACHAKOS SUB COUNTY.

JUSTUS KANYWA KING'ONDU

A Research Project Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Award of Degree of Master of Business Administration of South Eastern Kenya University

DECLARATION

I understand that plagiarism is an offence and therefore declare that this project is my

original work and has not been presented to any other institution for any other award.

Signed: _______ Date: ______

Justus Kanywa King'ondu.

D61/MAC/20629/2015.

This research project has been submitted for examination with my approval as the University supervisor.

Signed: _______ Date: ______

Dr. Robert Ombati

Department of Business and Entrepreneurship,

South Eastern Kenya University.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost am thankful to God my creator for granting me good health and strength which has enabled me come into completion of this project. My sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Robert Ombati who has been very instrumental in ensuring I did my best in this project. Thanks for your guidance, advice and support. Am also indebted to all my lecturers whom I interacted with in pursuance of this course of study for the knowledge they transferred to me. Last and not least, my friends and family members have been of great help and inspiration during my research work, God bless each one of you.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to my beloved Wife for the sacrifice she has made to enable me complete this project. Her love, care, concern, support, encouragement and enthusiasm inspired me to achieve this goal.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
DEDICATION	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
ACRONYMNS & ABBREVIATIONS	
DEFINATION OF TERMS	
ABSTRACT	xii
CHAPTER ONE	
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	5
1.3 Research Objectives	6
1.4 Research Questions	6
1.5 Significance of the Study	7
1.6 Limitations of the Study	7
1.8 Assumptions of the Study.	7
CHAPTER TWO	8
LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 introduction	8
2.2 Theoretical review	8
2.3 Review of empirical Studies	10
2.4 Conceptual framework	16
CHAPTER THREE	19
3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	19
3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 Research design	19

3.3 Target Population	19
3.4 Sampling techniques	20
3.5 Data collection and techniques	21
3.6 Pilot of the Study	21
3.7 Data analysis	22
3.8 Ethical Considerations	23
CHAPTER FOUR	24
4.0 RESULTS	24
4.1 Introduction	24
4.2 Reliability of the study	24
4.3 Response rate	25
4.4 Demographic Analysis	25
4.5 Personal investment decision making	28
4.6.1 Interest rate and investment decisions	32
4.6.2 Inflation and investment decisions	33
4.6.3 Risk diversification and investment decisions	34
4.6.4 Financial education and investment decisions	35
4.7.1 Model summary	37
4.7.2 Analysis of variance	38
4.7.3 Correlations	39
4.7.4 Coefficients of determination	40
CHAPTER FIVE	42
5.0 DISCUSSION OF THE RESEARCH FINDINGS	42
5.1 Introduction	42
5.2 Demographic information	42
5.3 Personal Investment Decisions by TSC Teachers	43

5.4. Interest rate and investment decisions	43
5.5 Inflation and investment decisions	44
5.6 Risk diversification and investment decisions	45
5.7 Financial education and investment decisions	45
CHAPTER SIX	47
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	47
6.1 Introduction	47
6.2 Conclusions of the study	47
6.3 Recommendations.	49
REFERENCES	51
APPENDICES	56
APPENDIX I: DATA COLLECTION LETTER	56
APPENDIX II: QUESTIONNAIRE	57
APPENDIX III: SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN MACHAKOS SUB COUNTY	61
APPENDIX IV: WORK PLAN	62
APPENDIX V: RESEARCH BUDGET	63
APPENDIX VI. SPSS OUT FREQUENCY TARLES	64

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Target population	20
Table 3.2 Sample selection.	21
Table 4.1 Cronbach's Alpha Range	24
Table 4.2 Response rate	25
Table 4.3 Gender of respondents	25
Table 4.4 Age of the respondent	26
Table 4.5 Highest level of education	26
Table 4.6 Income earned by respondent	27
Table 4.7 Position held by the respondent.	28
Table 4.8 Investment options made by respondent	29
Table 4.9 Income preferred for investment	30
Table 4.10 Duration of investment.	30
Table 4.11 Expected return from investment	31
Table 4.12 Motivators of investment	31
Table 4.13 interest rate and investment decisions	33
Table 4.14 Inflation and investment decision	34
Table 4.15 Risk diversification and investment decisions	35
Table 4.16 Financial education and investment decisions	36
Table 4.17 Model summary	37
Table 4.18 Anova	38
Table 4.19 correlations	39
Table 4.20 Coefficients	40

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Conceptual	framework	17
------------	------------	-----------	----

ACRONYMNS & ABBREVIATIONS

CPI Consumer price index

DOS Dean of studies

GDP Gross domestic product

HOD Head of department

IQ Intelligence quotient

IRR Internal rate of return

MFI Micro finance institutions

NPV Net present value

NSSF National social security fund

SACCOS Savings and credit cooperative societies.

SMES Small and medium sized enterprises.

SPSS Statistical package for social sciences.

TSC Teachers service commission

OECD Organization for economic cooperation and development.

UAE United Arab Emirates.

DEFINATION OF TERMS

Financial literacy

Is one's ability to understand key financial concepts in exercising right judgement when making decisions relating to financial matters (Nye, Pete & Cinnamon, 2013) and (Musundi, 2015).

Financial education

Is delivery and acquisition of knowledge relating to financial concepts and awareness through organized curriculum, workshops or newsletters (Caveat & Sodin,2014).

Inflation

Is an economy wide trend of increasing prices from one year to the next (Berceanu, 2014).

Investment decision

This is the awareness of alternative investment opportunities, investment securities and realization of the benefit that comes with investment (Musundi, 2014).

Interest rate

Is the rate charged or paid for use of money (Wuhan, Suyuan & Khurshid, 2015).

Risk diversification

Is the spreading risk out into numerous areas to ensure that the potential negative effects of exposure to any variable are limited(Shiroka,, Berikisha & Ahmeti, 2012).

Teachers Service Commission (TSC)

Is an independent government commission established under the constitution of Kenya to manage human resource within the education sector. (Kunyiha, 2015).

ABSTRACT

The study was assessing the effect of financial literacy on personal investment decisions among secondary teachers in Machakos Sub County. It explored on the reasons as to why teachers in Machakos Sub County fail to invest for their future and investigated on how their financial literacy contributes to the problem. The study was seeking to determine the influence of knowledge on interest rates, inflation, risk diversification and financial education on investment decisions of the TSC teachers in Machakos sub county. The study used the learning, decision and theory of mental accounting in supporting theoretical review. Empirical review has been done based on the objectives of the study as well as the conceptual framework. The population of the study comprised of 513 secondary teachers in Machakos Sub County. The Sub County has three zones with a total of 43 secondary schools. The study used descriptive research design with data being collected from both primary and secondary sources. The study has used Purposive sampling to select the most accessible schools in those zones while simple random sampling technique will be used to select 103 respondents from the schools in Machakos Sub County. A self-administered questionnaire was pre tested using 21 respondents and discussed with the supervisor to iron out ambiguities and irrelevancies then delivered to the respondents and collected after completion. Once the data was collected from the field, it was sorted to identify errors made by the respondents such as spelling errors and un-responded questions. The questionnaire included both closed and open ended questions. The data was then coded and keyed into statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) computer programme for analysis. Results of the analysis were represented through tables. Regression analysis was done to test correlation between variables, Anova test done as well as coefficients of determination done to establish the model equation. Then discussions of the results and interpretation was done, the study concluded that there is existence of financial illiteracy among teachers and a positive correlation between knowledge in interest rate, inflation, risk diversification and financial education. Thus, the teachers must possess this knowledge for effective investment decision making. The researcher recommended legislative interventions on mobile banking and MFI on borrowing cost, introduction of finance units in the curriculum all the way from primary to university and be made compulsory to all educational degrees. The study further recommends that teachers pool their ideas and resources together to identify best investment portfolio and thus mitigate individual impact on risk.

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

Globally, teachers just like other citizens face complex financial products and are subjected to making very critical decisions on investment. Financial literacy is key to decision making process in matters of analysis, selection and investment in the best investment project. The knowledge helps to boost chances of success on personal investment decisions that looks on one's competitive advantage, investment risks, investment return, sources and cost of capital, financial inclusion and other financial market dynamics.

Financial literacy among the investors have been found low and inadequate to support decision making process towards investment. Amoah (2016), observed that financial literacy of African Americans was relatively low, had the highest debt levels, lower savings, lowest home ownership with more home foreclosure, higher percentage of lending practices and were exposed to a higher cost on consumer loans than any other ethnic group in America.

Owusu (2015), notes that Teachers in Ghana had poor financial planning, budgetary allocations, savings, spending habits and with inadequate knowledge in stocks, bonds and fixed deposits. Their knowledge on investment in financial markets was inadequate pointing to inadequacies towards decision making and investment. The Kenyan situation of financial literacy has been inadequate too to match the needs of decision making process in investment. Wangeci (2017), from Nakuru county observes that financial knowledge of employees is very low on financial products such as mutual funds, mortgages as well as stocks and shares. It is observed that the employees have low financial skills to negotiate for better rates of return on investment products and that financial decisions have huge influence on individual financial security and standards of living as they boost ability to manage financial affairs prudently.

Machakos County has different financial institutions that offers teachers with saving and borrowing options. Marketing efforts by these financial institutions has subjected teachers to excessive borrowing. This has exposed guarantors to financial liability and obligation sites a report from Teachers service commission, (2016) as some get black listed in credit reference bureau causing challenges when accessing credit from financial institutions (Lusardi & Mitchel, 2014).

Mutuku (2015), Worthington (2005), Musundi (2015), Nye, Pete and Cinnamon (2013) and Lusardi and Mitchell (2014) argues that Financial literacy encompasses knowledge of key financial concepts and products with the ability to; process economic information while making informed decisions, manage personal finances considering changing economic conditions as well as possession of mathematical skills of numeracy for effective financial decision making. Lusardi and Mitchel, (2014) and Taylor (2013) points out that the indicators of financial literacy includes knowledge on interest rate compounding, inflation, risk diversification, mathematical literacy, standard literacy, financial understanding, financial competence and financial responsibility.

Interest rate is the rate which is charged or paid for use of money. Wuhan, Suyuan and Khurshid (2015) observes that the current interest rates affect future investment by adjusting savings. If the interest rate rises, bond prices fall, and if the interest rate falls, bond prices rise. The influence of interest rate on investment is that the rising interest rates increase the cost of investment and cause lower income investors to withdraw from the area of investment, so that the demand for investment is reduced. However, falling interest rates means that investment cost decline, thereby stimulating investment. Irreversible investment under the changing interest rates showed that the change in the rates has a positive or negative effect on the demand of investment (Alvarez & Koskekela, 2004).

Alvarez (2010), observed that the uncertainty of interest rate may limit the best individual investment and enterprise scale of production. This knowledge is useful on investment since it enables teachers to calculate, interpret and compare interest rates offered by different commercial banks, Micro finance institutions including mobile banking and Saccos forming a strong foundation for optimal investment decisions. This

knowledge enables teachers to compute the cost of their financing hence enabling them to choose the cheapest option.

Inflation is an economy wide trend of increasing prices from one year to the next. Berceanu (2014) notes that determination of net present value of investment project when estimating cash flows should take into account inflation in the costs and revenues. Since the investment decisions are based on anticipated future cash flows, the anticipated inflation should be reflected in the expected profitability of the project or the cost related to the capital project. Failure to take it into account, the effects of inflation can result to a wrong analysis on capital budgeting leading to a lower return of capital below the cost of capital. The knowledge of inflation is useful in analyzing the trend in prices, determining and comparing present and future real value of an investment and hence help teachers in arriving at the expected return since changes in price affects profitability.

Risk diversification consists of spreading risk out into numerous areas to ensure that the potential negative effects of exposure to any one variable are limited. Shiroka, Berisha and Ahmeti (2012), in her study from china found out that diversification decreases nonsystematic risk while asset correlation helps reduce portfolio risk in an investment. The lack of diversification may be due to lack of individual control and their financial literacy level (Demsetz & Lehn, 2015). This knowledge of risk diversification will enable teachers in risk identification, Calculation of expected risks, management of risk through diversification and measurement of best investment portfolio to invest in.

Financial education is an organized program where content relating to financial concepts or topics is taught through a curriculum, seminars, workshops or even newsletters. People with high financial education have been found to hold more stock than their counterpart (Calvet & Sodini, 2014). Deuflhard, Georgarkos and Inderst (2014), in their Dutch survey concluded that financial education is associated with higher returns on saving accounts. In the Netherlands, Gaudecker (2015), pointed out that more knowledgeable individuals were observed to hold more diversified funds.

Grinblatt, Keloharju and Juhani (2011), pointed out that investors with business education did pay lower fund fees. Choi, Laibson and Madrian (2010) reported that people who were more financially knowledgeable selected cheaper investment options than their counterparts and that mistakes driven by financial illiteracy are the primary source of the high fee index funds. Lusardi (2014) notes that the same way it was not possible to live in an industrialised society without print literacy so it is not possible to live in today's world without being financially literate. There is need for increased financial education at early stages of life in today's world (Sloan ,2012).

Financial education therefore creates financial awareness in financial statements, budgeting, financial modelling, financial inclusion, taxation, auditing, marketing and enhances understanding of business law. It therefore raises confidence level enabling teachers to optimally venture in both risky and non-risky areas. A study by Wagner (2015), indicates that people with financial education and high income have more financial literacy than their counterparts. Monticone (2010), finds that people with higher incomes are more likely to be financially knowledgeable.

The knowledge on financial education is geared towards optimal decision making by investors. Ayieko (2004) argues that investment planning is an important area of retirement and financial planning that assumes that a fully rational and well informed individual will consume less than his/her income in times of high earnings, thus saving to support consumption when income falls after retirement. Modiglian and Brumberg, (2012) argues that an investor is supposed to organise his savings and wealth accumulation for smooth marginal utility over his lifetime.

Obamuyi (2013), in his study in Nigeria noted that the socio-economic characteristics of investors such as age, gender, educational qualifications and marital status have significantly influenced investment decisions of investors while on the other hand Jagongo and Mutswenje (2014) from Kenya observes that factors that influence individual investment decisions were based on reputation of the firm, firm's status in industry, expected corporate earnings, profit and condition of financial statements, past

performance of the firm's stock, price per share, feeling on the economy and expected dividend by investors.

Hastings and Mitchel (2010), indicated that consumers undersave for retirement, take too much debt, make poor mortgage decisions, and experience other problems in the modern financial environment. Lusardi and Mitchel (2007), noted that consumers suffer from financial illiteracy and are impatient as they choose immediate satisfaction over future higher pay offs.

1.1.1 Teachers of Machakos Sub County

The teachers of Kenya are under the ministry of education. Teachers service commission (TSC) is an autonomous body mandated with selection, recruitment, paying, promotion, discipline of teachers among other roles. This study focused on secondary school teachers currently employed by TSC from Machakos Sub County. The government has organised a pension scheme for teachers through national social and security fund (NSSF) to enhance savings for teachers towards retirement. A number of SACCOs such as Mwalimu SACCO have been established sorely for teacher voluntary saving plan. In the recent past teachers through their Unions have been agitating for better pay and improved terms. Data from County director office revealed that Machakos Sub County has 513 secondary school teachers employed by Teachers service commission in 43 schools as at April 2019.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Globally, financial market is getting complex as investment opportunities increase and become readily available in the market (Lusardi & Mitchel,2014). Teachers therefore need to have financial understanding and competence in the products and services available in the market. Owusu (2015), observed that teachers in Ghana had low financial literacy, poor financial planning, budgetary allocation and inadequate investment awareness with a high exposure to risk on portfolio. Githui (2012), from Kenya noted that teachers from Nairobi County had serious concerns of financial constraints, low financial management skills and unpreparedness towards retirement which evoked stress leading to dire consequences. Majority of the teachers were noted

to avoid investment in stocks, bonds and mutual funds in the financial markets. A survey done by Teachers service commission (2016) indicates that Machakos County has different financial institutions that offers teachers with savings and borrowing options. Marketing efforts by these institutions has subjected teachers to excessive borrowing leading to their failure to promptly pay their debts when they fall due thus creating unnecessary financial obligation to their guarantors. However, there is no previous study on financial literacy and personal investment decisions that has ever been conducted in Machakos County. This study is therefore focussing on the link between financial literacy and investment decisions undertaken by TSC teachers in Machakos Sub County, seeking to explain why they seem to take on too much debt, under invest for retirement, make poor mortgage decisions and avoid investing in financial markets.

1.3 Research Objectives

- i) To examine the influence of knowledge on interest rates on investment decisions of TSC teachers.
- ii) To evaluate the effect of knowledge on inflation on investment decisions undertaken by TSC teachers.
- iii) To find out the impact of knowledge on risk diversification on investment decisions undertaken by TSC teachers.
- iv) To determine the influence of financial education on investment decisions of TSC teachers.

1.4 Research Questions

- i) What influence does knowledge on interest rate have on investment decisions of TSC teachers?
- ii) What effect does knowledge on inflation have on investment decisions of TSC teachers?
- iii) Does knowledge on risk diversification affect investment decisions of TSC teachers?
- iv) How does financial education influence investment decisions of TSC teachers?

1.5 Significance of the Study

This study aims at enabling teachers to acquire knowledge on how to make better financial decisions that can have positive long term effects on households. It is a benchmark for helping teachers and other employees in choosing quality investment and retirement decisions. Readers too can improve their basic financial literacy about investments and provide recommendations on the way forward towards the problem. It provides academicians and researchers with access to more knowledge in their research work.

1.6 Limitations of the Study

The main limitation was caused by inability to give the questionnaire to all teachers of Machakos Sub County. The study could not cover all the teachers so as to provide a broad based analysis, as resource constrains posed this limitation. Thus, a sample was designed as a representative of all the teachers. The respondents approached were reluctant in giving information sought, fearing that such information would paint a negative image about them. To overcome this the researcher assured the respondents of strict confidentiality of any information disclosed by them and explained to the respondents the needs of the study.

1.7 Scope of the Study

There are very many factors that affect financial literacy in the county, this study only focused on the main indicators of financial literacy. The study was confined in Machakos Sub County and thus excluded other parts of Kenya. The study was restricted to the four main variables which include, Knowledge on Interest rates, inflation, Risk diversification and level of financial education on investment decisions of teachers employed by TSC. Therefore, teachers working in private schools, retired teachers and those teachers who are no longer working with TSC were excluded.

1.8 Assumptions of the Study

This study was based on the assumptions that all the respondents were to cooperate and provide truthful information to the research instruments and that questionnaires would be returned on time.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 introduction

This chapter discusses the theoretical review, reviews the empirical studies, conceptual framework and identifies the research gap.

2.2 Theoretical Review

This study is based on learning theory, decision theory and theory of mental accounting.

2.2.1 Learning Theory

Zhou and Brown (2017), Observed that when an action is linked to a consequence of either reinforcement or punishment, its continuity changes. They argued that positive reinforcement brings more permanent results, while negative reinforcement or punishment leads to negative consequences supporting the findings of (Skinner,1990), hence the TSC can help Teachers with training in financial matters to enhance their decision making towards investment. Breger and Gaugh (1965), investigates the scientific perspective of behaviorism over social theory and its ability to explain complex people actions by only factoring the observable while ignoring the importance of cognitions and emotions.

According to Bandura (1977), behavioral experiments occurs in the laboratory and thus critiques the theory of learning as a basis of describing people's behavior that occurs in a natural social setting. Goldhaber (2000), acknowledged the influence of prerequisite factors and the anticipated consequences, whether positive or negative, in determining people's actions. It therefore focuses on the possibility of continuous learning during which the stimulus for or the impacts of actions can be amended. Teachers therefore can pool their business ideas together and benefit from learning from good practices and share experiences likely to halt their investment endeavors.

2.2.2 Decision Theory

According to Warner (1968), this theory is about people's actions. It argues that a person such as a teacher should choose an action that optimizes expected utility.

Financial decisions are made by teachers pursuing a broad range of investment objectives. These teachers face critical financial risk in deciding on source of financing, investment portfolio, appraisal and project valuation. This calls for decision support system model in order to minimize the risk of potential losses due to poor decisions.

According to Roberts and Henneberry (2007), in their study investigated the decision making processes of investors such as teachers. Their study covered a many countries across European markets. Respondents of the research were individuals likely to engage directly in investment decision process. The study encompassed a model of ten normative stages. The study observed that the actual decision-making process is much easier than the normative model predicted, with the teachers establishing a strategy, looking for investment projects, undertaking a feasibility study of market conditions and buying investment products that best suit that strategy (Roberts & Henneberry, 2007). This theory recognizes decision making as an important step in investment analysis that teachers have to carefully and critically consider to enhance viability and success rates of their investments.

2.2.3 Theory of Mental Accounting

According to Thaler and shefrin (1985), the way an individual subjectively frames a transaction in their mind influences the utility or value they will get or anticipate. According to Jordan and Miller (2008), individual investors keep an independent mental account for each asset and unconsinuously relate with each asset account. As a result, it tends to be difficult to sell one of them. According to Shefrin and Statman (1985), the main thing behind mental accounting is that decision makers mostly keep the various types of gambles encountered into independent accounts. When you buy a new asset, instead of examining the entire investment, a new mental account is created. The asset purchase price remains to be the reference point. The operations relating to this account are mantained indicating gains or losses in relation to the purchase price.

Teachers find it difficult to close mental accounts at a loss, a situation termed as the breakeven effect. Teachers may resist the realization of a loss because it stands as proof that their first judgment was wrong. While closing an asset account at a loss provokes

regret, closing at a gain provokes pride (Shefrin & Statman, 2005). The desire for pride and the avoidance of regret directs an attempt to realize gains and defer losses. Regret is superior to pride. As a result, Teachers may be prone to inaction than action due to the strong desire to avoid regret. Thus some Teachers would prefer not selling at all (inaction) whether prices rise or fall. Thus the importance of this theory to Teachers is that it provokes them to move from their comfort zones and try business ventures that will enhance their future income.

2.3 Review of Empirical Studies

Key forcus in this review was on the four variables that discuss on knowledge of interest rates, inflation, risk diversification and financial education effects on personal investment decisions.

2.3.1 Knowledge of Interest Rate on Personal Investment Decisions

According to Wuhan, Suyuan and Khurshid (2015), Who carried a survey on the effect of interest rate on investment, from China, found out that the interest rates runs as the opportunity cost of investment on entire investment. When the investment income is constant, rise in interest rates raises the cost of investment thus making investors with low income to pull out from those investments thus decreasing demand for investment. However, when there is decline in interest rates the investment costs reduce encouraging investment of individual investors. It was observed that in the long run, interest rate and investment have a positive correlation and hence decreasing the rate will stimulate investment.

The results showed that the impact of interest rates on investment depends on the industry. They recommended that the individual investors in businesses should make correct and informed decisions according to the changes in interest rate, the government should make dynamic investment policies and forcus on interest sensitive industries by increasing interest rate liberalization, enhancing investment procedures and environment and improving the sensitivity of investment to interest rate in individual investors as well as firms (Wuhan etal, 2015).

According to Lane and Rosewall (2015), Who conducted a survey on Firms' Investment Decisions and Interest Rates in Australia firms, found out that investment decision process during the global financial crisis lowered growth of investment. Firms lowered their payback period with rise in discount rates for investment decision even as long-range interest rates reduced. It was observed that finding investment opportunities with returns beyond the normal hurdle rate of around fifteen per cent was difficult for many industries due to their expectations for growth in sales.

Interest rates changes do not have a direct impact on investment decisions for many industries though they have a big indirect influence on industries' investment decisions. For instance, a decline in interest rates may enhance firms' cash liquidity position through reductions in interest costs, making cash to be available for other uses. Ideally, interest rates impact economic operations through many channels, such as the saving and spending behavior of households, borrowing, stock prices and the exchange rate, which generally influence the aggregate demand (lane & Rosewall, 2015).

According to Bader and Ahmed (2015), in their study whose key objective was to evaluate the impact of interest rate on investment in Jordan, observed that a high interest rate increases the cost of capital, endangering private investment level. The study noted that in developing countries such as Kenya, which have poorly developed financial markets with poor access to foreign financing are limited by domestic savings and thus responds positively to a higher real interest rates. The results further showed that the impact of real interest rate is greater than the effect of the income on investment. If discount rate was substituted by stochastic interest rate, the result turned out that the uncertainty of interest rate had obvious uncertain effects on investment points (Ingersoll and Ross, 2012). The analysis of irreversible investment under the changing interest rates showed that the change in the rate had positive or negative consequence on the demand of investment argues (Alvarez and Koskekela, 2004). The diffusion model of short-term rates pointed that the uncertainty of interest rate may constrain the best individual and enterprise investment scale as noticed by (Alvarez, 2010).

2.3.2 Risk Diversification and its Effects on Personal Investment Decisions

According to Shiroka, Berisha and Ahmeti (2012), in their study on the impact of portfolio diversification on the performance and the risk of investments of Kosovo pension savings trust, in Jiangsu Province, China, noted that there is a limit to the assets required for portfolio diversification, and thus its surplus would only bring negative requires. The manner of combination of assets in portfolio may help in reduction of the risks. Diversification helps in decreasing of non-systematic risk or diversiafiable risk.

They noted that the more the assets in a portfolio, the better the return and the lower the risk of the investment. Portfolio risk is considerably reduced through diversification; individual investment's risk is enganged in a portfolio risk with a lower percentage than their contribution in portfolio returns (Shiroka etal, 2012). They proposed that Trust should continue with the policy of diversification as the only way to ensure the sustainability of returns vis a vis continuous changes in the performance of investment.

A study by Shyan, Gow and Hui (2010) among Taiwanese investors to determine their past experiences and their outcomes when exposed to the economic signals, found no difference by gender for investor propensity to take risk. However, great or small perceptions of risk were shown by investors based on their personal investment experience. Investors with little experience and structured notes were discovered to have significant perception on risk. Furthermore, the married investors were of the opinion that they had adequate knowledge on financial management and could make better investment decision.

Imperfect diversification of an individual as well as firm's decision maker's portfolio, negatively affects one's propensity to take risks. It was noted that a channel through which decision makers can impact their individual and firms' risk taking and the resulting variability of both individual or firms' cash flows is investment strategy (Kothari, Laguerre & Leone, 2002) and (Anderson, Duru & Reeb,2012). consequently, a business led by a well-diversified investor would undertake positive NPV projects, as well as relatively risky ones. However, a venture led by a less diversified investor may reject positive NPV and consider risky projects.

Financial limitations impact the correlation between owner's portfolio diversification and investment ventures. An unconstrained investor can easily raise their investment in response to a higher owner's portfolio diversification. Consequently, a relatively constrained person may not operate at their best investment level and may be unable to change their capital investment in response to rise in the owner's portfolio diversification. It was found that a positive relation exist between investor's capital investment and the owners' portfolio diversification for individual facing relatively low financial constraints (Pierce, 2010).

2.3.3 Effect of Financial Education on Investment Decisions

According to Wagne (2015), who conducted a study on the effects of financial education on financial literacy and financial behaviours from University of Nebraska in Lincoln. The study on secondary students indicated enhancement in use of time, resources, better consumption decisions, increased income creation, more Saving and investing, borrowing and budgeting. The study on college students showed a positive correlation between financial education and saving, investing and budgeting.

When financial education was offered by employer through subsidized masters programs, newsletters, investment seminars for all employees, workshops and other financial education programs it improved individual saving rates as well as satisfaction at work place. Financial education towards mature citizens on topics of investment, borrowing, insurance and financial advice improved their confidence and preparedness towards retirement planning. The main shortcoming was absence of evaluation of financial education on financial literacy and financial behavior (Wagner, 2015).

According to Fernandes, Lynch and Netemeyer (2013), Who conducted a study on the effect of financial literacy and financial education on downstream financial behaviors in Netherlands, Boulder and Charlottesville, found out that financial education intervention affected financial literacy and financial behavior in individual saving, planning for retirement, debt management, stock ownership and investment decisions, cash flow management and financial inertia such as choice of default options and elimination of payment of unnecessary fees.

The study forcussed on financial educational interventions through introduction of finance units in high school, counseling, seminar or workshop, multiple sources of education, and exposure to information such as newsletter or a fair all of which improve knowledge on investment decisions. They also found out that the impact of financial education erodes over time hence producing weak consequences on financial knowledge which influences financial behavior towards investment (Fernandes etal, 2013). They recommended teaching of soft skills like propensity to plan, confidence and ability to take investment risks more than content knowledge. In third world countries, financial education when offered together with business oriented programs such as entrepreneurship enhance financial services such as saving, borrowing, insurance, enhanced participation in money generating activities as well as accumulation of wealth.

Sayinzoga, Bulte and lensink (2013), in their study of financial education programme in rural Rwanda indicated that improved financial knowledge and behaviour translates to increased saving, loan accessibility and business start-up which lead to income generation and welfare improvement. Mahdzan and Tahiani(2013) and Suwananaphon (2013), explored the impact of financial literacy on individual saving in Malaysia, and showed that government should promote financial education to enhance saving in the population as low financial literacy negatively affects saving behaviour and may lead to overspending.

According to Bayer (2008), noted that the right education may improve the quality of personal financial decision making. Other studies showed that there is correlations between an individual's general level of educational attainment and his or her rate of saving (Dynan, Skinner and Zeldes ,2004). Lusardi and Mitchell (2009) indicated that financial planning and education have an influence on individual's wealth and that women had lower skills in their financial planning and literacy proficiency. Further findings indicated that individuals with higher level of financial literacy are those who took finance and economics related courses in their educational lives. However, individual participation in the stock markets creates a positive development about their financial literacy levels. Thus, there is a positive relationship between participation in

the stock market and financial literacy. It is found that financial knowledge among individuals who do not participate in the stock markets is very low. Inadequate and lack of compulsory financial courses is reported to be the major cause of the situation at universities points Chen and Volpe (2012).

Financial education improves general financial decision making, participation in stock market, pension fund management, portfolio diversification and examination of the self-financial literacy (Lusardi and Mitchel, 2012). Recent findings indicate that even the developed countries have low level of financial literacy. Furthermore, respondents in these researches were identified as being overconfident about financial issues. It is known that individuals with low level of financial literacy and overconfidence in financial matters are more prone to making wrong financial decisions which may lead to high risks.

2.3.4 Effect of Inflation on Investment Decisions

The rate of inflation is important as it represents the rate at which the real value of an investment is eroded and the loss in spending power over time. Inflation also tells investors exactly how much of a return (%) their investments need to make for them to maintain their standards of living. There are several regularly reported measures of inflation that investors can use to track inflation. The most widely monitored indicator is the Consumer Price Index (CPI). According to Berceanu, (2014), the knowledge of inflation is useful in computing, interpreting and comparing present and future real value of an investment and hence help investors in arriving at the expected return.

According to Fischer(2013), A study made in London school of economics on Investment choice and inflation uncertainty, the study observed that periods of high inflation volatility are associated with substantial reductions in total investment. A one percent increase in inflation changes is linked with a ten percent decline in total investment. It notes that times of high inflation are associated with a shift in the mix of investment away from fixed assets and towards working capital. Fixed asset investment reduces by fifteen percent to thirty seven percent, when the proportional change in working capital investment is below ten percent. The decline in fixed asset investment

is driven primarily by a decrease in the likelihood of any fixed asset investment, which falls by twenty six to forty six percent for a one percent increase in inflation volatility. The observed dynamics in business investment are magnified to consideration of other macro variables (Fisher, 2013).

According to Njogo, Ohiaeri and Inim (2018), in their study on relationship between stock returns and inflation rates in Nigeria from 1995 to 2014, noted that the inflationary forces get rid of the worth of money, thus subjecting a given unit of money to purchase fewer goods. Inflation creates artificial capital gain which is subject to capital gains tax thus introducing a tax liability. Rising inflation endanger investors as well as corporate growth. The study showed that there was negative impact of inflation rates on stock markets in Nigeria. They recommended economic reforms to target macroeconomic stability in the country as inflation rates have negative effects on stock returns and removal of structural twist and creation of business-friendly environment that ensures price stability, anti- inflationary policy like non- expansionary monetary and fiscal Policies as well as inflation-adjusted interest rate policy should be pursued to attract investment in stocks and lastly, efforts should be made in Strengthening of supervisory and regulatory bodies in the financial system (Njogo etal, 2018).

2.4 Conceptual Framework

Sekeran (2003) argues that a variable is a measurable characteristic that assumes different values among objects. According to Orodho (2009) a conceptual framework describes the relationship between the research variables. In the conceptual framework, the independent variables are; Knowledge on interest rates, inflation, risk diversification and financial education while the dependent variable is personal investment decision.

Independent variables Dependent variable Interest rates Ability to compute cost of investment. • Ability to interpret cost of investment. Compare trends in financial institutions. Inflation Interpret the trend of CPI on inflation. • Factor inflation rate in expected return. Personal investment Factor Time value of decisions money. Type of investments undertaken Return on investment undertaken. Risk diversification Duration and amount • Identification of best invested investment portfolio. Identification of investment risk. Calculation and management of risks **Financial education** Individual saving rates and debt levels. Retirement planning and budgeting. Certificate attained.

Figure 2.1 Conceptual framework.

Source: Researcher (2019).

2.5 Research Gap

Investment projects undertaken by teachers depends on their ability to make the right investment decisions. Empirical studies prove that a higher percentage of teachers have over borrowed from different financial institutions, undersaved, have made poor mortgage decisions, seem unprepared for retirement and majority do not invest in financial markets. The researcher therefore, wants to conduct a study on their financial literacy necessary to support personal investment decision making process. This study acknowledges this gap, by studying the effect of knowledge on interest rates, inflation, risk diversification and financial education as variables that may help in personal investment decision making of teachers.

CHAPTER THREE

3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

This chapter highlights the research design, target population, sampling techniques, data collection and techniques, pilot study, data analysis and ethical considerations.

3.2 Research Design

Burns and Grove (2003), defines a research design as a blueprint for conducting a study with maximum control over factors that may interpret with the validity of the findings. The study used the descriptive research design. According to Ngechu (2004), descriptive studies are more formalized and clearly structured with well stated research questions. This research is descriptive because it is concerned with discussing financial literacy and its influence on personal investment decisions of TSC teachers. It ensures complete description of the state as it is with minimum bias in the collection of data to reduce errors in interpreting the data collected.

3.3 Target Population

According to Mugenda and Mugenda,(2003), A population is a complete set of individuals, events or objects of elements about which the study wants to draw information. The target population of this study was the secondary school teachers of Machakos Sub County. Statistics from Machakos TSC Sub County director indicate that the number of secondary school teachers employed by the TSC as at april 2019 in the entire Sub County was 513 working in 43 schools.

Table 3.1 Target Population.

Zones	Number of schools Population of teacher	
Mumbuni	15	221
Mutituni	15	130
Muvuti	13	162
Total	43	513

(Source: Teachers service commission, Machakos County director offices, 26th April, 2019).

3.4 Sampling Techniques

The sampling plan describes the sampling unit, sampling frame, sampling procedures and the sample size for the study. The sampling frame is the list of all population units from which the sample is selected (cooper and schindker,2003). Purposive Sampling was used in selecting the Schools. This technique enabled the researcher in administering questionnaires to schools that are easily accessible while Random sampling was adopted in selecting the respondents to be interviewed since some schools may have very many teachers.

3.4.1 Sample Size

Mutuku (2015) and Mugenda and Mugenda (2003), suggest that in descriptive studies, ten percent or above of the accessible population is enough for the study. Therefore, the sample size consisted of 103 respondents from a total of 513 secondary teachers from Machakos Sub county by april 2019. This sample represents twenty percent of the total population.

Table 3.2 Sample Selected

Position held	population	Sample	size	Percentage
		selected		
Principal	43	9		20
Deputy principal	43	9		20
Other teacher	427	85		20
Total	513	103		

(Source: Teachers service commission, Machakos County director offices, 26th April, 2019).

3.5 Data Collection and Techniques

The data collection of this study was from primary and secondary data. Primary data was collected using questionnaires which was administered by the researcher himself. This method is appropriate since it encouraged prompt responses from the respondents. The reason for using questionnaire is that the research questions are defined clearly, is easy to process, analyze and it is cheaper than other methods (Bryman & Bell,2007). The questionnaire was structured into three parts. Part one captured demographic and social economic data, Part two collected data on personal investment decisions while part three collected data on financial literacy factors and its effects on personal investment decisions. The study incorporated both closed and open ended questions.

3.6 Pilot of the Study

Apilot study is an activity that assists the researcher in determining if there are flaws, limitations, or other weaknesses within the research instrument design and allows him or her to make necessary revisions prior to the implementation of the study (Orodho,2009).

A pilot test was organized by administering the questionnaire to 21 respondents which is 20% of the sample size at Kathiani Sub County. The questionnaire was administered by the researcher personally to the respondents.

3.6.1 Validity of Research Instrument

A research instrument is said to be valid if it can consistently yield similar results when administered to different groups of people (Kothari, 2011). Based on the analysis of the pilot study, modification and removal of ambiquties in questions, inaccurate responses or indicated weaknesses will be done to attract appropriate responses from the respondents. Borg and Gall (1989) assert that validity of a research instrument is improved through expert judgement and as such the researcher sought assistance of the university supervisor to find out whether the instrument could measure what it intended to measure.

3.6.2 Reliability of Research Instrument

Reliability of an instrument is the measure of the degree to which a research instrument yields consistent results or data after repeated trials (Oso & Onen, 2009). In order to test the reliability and internal consistency of the instrument to be used in the study, Cronbach's Alpha was used with expected reliability of above 0.70.

3.7 Data Analysis

Data analysis means categorizing, manipulating, summarizing and presenting data so as to obtain answers to the research questions. The purpose of data analysis is therefore to obtain meaning from the data that was collected. Before processing the responses, the completed questionnaires was sorted, checked and edited for completeness and consistency. The data was coded to enable responses to be grouped into various categories. Descriptive statistics techniques was used to analyze the quantitative data. Coding was done using SPSS, data analyzed and the output interpreted. The findings was presented using tables. This enhanced interpretation and explanation of the data. Then conclusions and recommendations made by researcher.

3.7.1 Empirical Model

Multiple regression analysis was conducted to determine the relationship between financial literacy and the investment decisions. Financial literacy was regressed against investment decisions. The regression equation was represented as;

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + e.$$

Where

Y =The dependent variable (Personal investment decision)

 X_1 = Interest rate index

X₂= Inflation index

X₃= Risk diversification index

 X_4 = Financial education index

 β_0 = The regression coefficient/constant/Y-intercept, β_1 , β_2 , β_3 , and β_4 and are the slopes of the regression equation.

e = Error term.

3.8 Ethical Considerations

The researcher considered some elements that were not to undermine the reliability and credibility of the study which are ethically considered. During data collection the researcher considered confidentiality of the respondent and independence in administering the questionnaire. The researcher used the APA referencing system throughout the study and also did citation for findings from other authors considered in the study to avoid plagiarism. Before data collection the authority of all parties involved were requested.

CHAPTER FOUR

4.0 RESULTS

4.1 Introduction

This chapter entails the results of primary data that was collected through questionnaires among Teachers working in Machakos Sub County. The data was analysed, interpreted, presented and conclusions drawn. The aim of the study was to access the effect of financial literacy on personal investment decisions of Teachers working with Teachers Service Commission at Machakos Sub County. The research was done as per the study objectives. It highlighted areas of response rate, reliability and validity, demographic analysis, descriptive analysis and regression analysis.

4.2 Reliability of the Study

The researcher used the Cronbach's Alpha that is widely used to assess internal consistency and reliability for five point likert scale items. The table below illustrates the ranking of Cronbach's Alpha and shows the cut-off points ranging from 0.736 to 0.890 for all the variables. Reid (2006) indicates that as a general rule, a coefficient greater than or equal to 0.7 is considered acceptable and a good indication of construct reliability.

Table 4.1 Cronbach's Alpha Range

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	No. of Items	N
Financial education	0.736	5	91
Interest rate	0.777	5	91
Inflation	0.890	5	91
Risk diversification	0.760	5	91
Personal investment decision	0.870	5	91

4.3 Response Rate

The administered questionnaires were sorted and counted. From a sample of 103 questionnaires administered, 91 were returned while 12 questionnaires were not returned. This translated to 88.35% response rate on those who returned the questionnaires as shown in the table 4.2.

Table 4.2 Response Rate

Questionnaires	Frequency	Percentage(%)
Returned	91	88.35
Not returned	12	11.65
Total	103	100

Source: Author (2019)

4.4 Demographic Analysis

4.4.1 Gender of the Respondent

Out of 91 respondents, 52.7% were male while 47.3% were female as shown on table 4.3. This can be attributed to gender equality in both training institutions and TSC employment policies as shown in table 4.3

Table 4.3 Gender of respondents

Gender	Frequency	Percent	
Male	48	52.7	
Female	43	47.3	
Total	91	100	

Source(Author, 2019).

4.4.2 Age of the Respondents

In a total of 91 respondents, 27.5% were aged between 21-30 years. The majority of the respondent were in the age bracket 31-40 and 40-50 years, which is attributed to massive post primary recruitment to enhance 100% transition from primary schools since 2008. 15.4% were from age bracket of 51-60 years when TSC had constrained employment of teachers before free primary and secondary education as shown in table 4.4. Those within the age bracket of 31 to 50 years were more willing to take

investment decisions irregardless of the risk involved, while those above 50 years were not comfortable with new ideas. They opted to persue what they had already started (Musundi, 2014).

Table 4.4 Age of the respondents

Age of respondent	Frequency	Percent
21-30	25	27.5
31-40	26	28.6
41-50	26	28.6
51-60	14	15.4
Total	91	100.0

Source:(Author, 2019).

4.4.3 Highest Level of Education Attained

Analysis on education level attained showed that 24.2% of respondents were diploma holders. 60.4% had undergraduate degrees emanating from numerous university admissions as well as minimum qualification requirements for promotions to administrative position there before while 15.4% of the respondents had post graduate degrees. The study showed that no PHD holder was teaching at high school level as shown in table 4.5. The higher the level of education the better the investment decision.

Table 4.5 Highest level of education

Education level	Frequency	Percent
Diploma	22	24.2
Under graduate	55	60.4
Post graduate	14	15.4
Total	91	100.0

Source (Author, 2019)

4.4.4 Income of the Respondents

The study revealed that 27.5% earned less than sh 30000, 38.5% of the respondents earned between sh 30001-50000 and these were the majority due to recent massive

employment by the government after introduction of free primary and secondary education, 13.2% had income ranging between sh 50001-70000, 7.7% earned sh 70001-90000 while 13.2% of the respondent's income was above sh 90000 as shown in table 4.6. The study noted that the higher the income the higher the financial knowledge. Thus, those with higher incomes could take many investment decisions.

Table 4.6 Income earned by respondents

Income earned	Frequency	Percent
Below 30000	25	27.5
30001-50000	35	38.5
50001 - 70000	12	13.2
70001 - 90000	7	7.7
Above 90000	12	13.2
Total	91	100.0

Source(Author, 2019).

4.4.5 Position Held at School by the Respondents

Out of 91 respondents that returned their questionnaires, 9.9% were principals, 8.8% deputy principals, 5.5% senior teachers, 5.5% Dean of studies, 11% were Head of departments while 59.3% were ordinary teachers as shown in the table 4.7. The higher the position the higher the financial responsibility, thus those holding administrative positions or incharge of departments have more exposure through attendance to organized seminars and expected budgetary requirements from their positions.

Table 4.7 Position held by the respondents

Position held	Frequency	Percent	
Principal	9	9.9	
Deputy principal	8	8.8	
Senior teacher	5	5.5	
Dean of studies	5	5.5	
Head of department	10	11.0	
Teacher	54	59.3	
Total	91	100.0	

Source(Author, 2019).

4.5 Personal Investment Decision Making

4.5.1 Investment Choice of the Respondents

The study sought to find out the investment options undertaken by the respondent in both general and financial market. The results of the study were explained through descriptive statistics as shown in table 4.8. Out of 91 respondents who invested in general market, 39.6% preferred investing in Real estates since it required little supervision after investment and that it had steady and constant income. 24.2% opted to invest in Retail/Wholesale businesses citing less legal requirements in entry to the businesses. 15.4% of the respondents chose operating an Hardware while in Saloon, Transport industry and Hotel, food & Beverage respondents preference was at 6.6%, 4.4% and 9.9% respectively. The few respondents chose to invest in transport industry citing high level corruption by traffic police and uncooperative Matatu employees on agreed income remittances.

This report showed a higher preference in areas of Real estates followed by Retail/Wholesale shops with a lower preference in Transport industry, Saloon and Hotel, food & beverage. The report on investment in financial market revealed that 57.1% of the Teachers invested in Sacco shares since the Sacco had a lower interest rate on borrowing and financial year returns known as dividends. 33.0% had opted for Bank deposits(Saving accounts) while 8.8% of respondents had invested in shares from listed companies with only 1.1% preferring Government bonds, Corporate bonds, Debentures and preference shares. This report suggested that respondents had either less financial awareness about shares from listed companies as well as debentures and preference shares or they were not interested in them.

Table 4.8 Investment options made by respondent

Investment in general market		
	Frequency	Percentage(%)
Retail/Wholesale shop	22	24.2
Real estate	36	39.6
Hardware	14	15.4
Saloon	6	6.6
Transport i.e Matatu industry	4	4.4
Hotel, food and beverage	9	9.9
Total	91	100
Investment in Financial market		
Bank deposits e.g Saving accounts	30	33.0
Sacco shares	52	57.1
Shares from listed companies	8	8.8
Government bonds, Corporate bonds,	1	1.1
Debentures & Preference shares		
Total	91	100

4.5.2 Income Preferred for Investment by the Respondents

Out of the 91 respondents who returned the questionnaire, 17.6% preferred to invest between 0-10% of their income, majority of the respondents (30.8%) chose to invest 11-20% due to their constrained income since they relied on salary as their major source of income in all their monthly expenditure, 23.1% preferred to invest 21-30% of their income, 6.6% chose to sacrifice between 31-40%, 9.9% could invest between 41-50% and the remaining 12.1% preferred investing above 50% of their income as shown in the table 4.9.

Table 4.9 Income preferred for investment

Income invested	Frequency	Percent
Between 0 - 10%	16	17.6
Between 11 - 20%	28	30.8
Between 21 - 30%	21	23.1
Between 31 - 40 %	6	6.6
Between 41 - 50 %	9	9.9
Above 50%	11	12.1
Total	91	100.0

4.5.3 Duration of the Investment

From the results of the study, no respondent wanted their investment to last for less than a year, 26.4% opted that their investment would last between 1-5 years, 15.4% preferred a duration of 6-10 years while 58.2% were committed to investments that would last for over 10 years as shown in the figure 4.10.

Table 4.10 Duration of investment.

Duration invested	Frequency	Percent	
1 - 5 years	24	26.4	
6 - 10 years	14	15.4	
More than 10 years	53	58.2	
Total	91	100.0	

Source(Author, 2019).

4.5.4 Expected Return From Investment

The findings on the expected return from investment indicated that 9.9% of the teachers preferred a return of between 5-10%, 13.2% of the respondent were for a return between 11-15%, 18.7% opted for a return of 16-20% from the investment, 11% 0f the respondents preferred a return of between 21-25%, with 20.9% of the

respondents chosing a return of 26-30% while the other 26.4% of the teachers opted for a return above 30% as shown in the table 4.11

Table 4.11 Expected return from investment

Expected return	Frequency	Percent
Between 5 - 10 %	9	9.9
Between 11 - 15 %	12	13.2
Between 16 - 20%	17	18.7
Between 21 - 25%	10	11.0
Between 26 - 30 %	19	20.9
Above 30%	24	26.4
Total	91	100.0

Source(Author, 2019).

4.5.5 Motivators of Investment

The respondents were asked on what were their motivators of investment. There responses were summarized in a table using a 5-point likert scale as follows; very small extent(1), small extent (2), moderate extent (3), great extent(4), very great extent(5).

Table 4.12 Motivators of investment

Motivators of investment	Mean	Std	Variance
How retirement influences investment decisions of an	3.32	1.37	1.86
individual			
How cash flow motivates investment decisions undertaken	3.44	1.21	1.47
by an individual			
How desire to change a career influences investment	3.67	1.03	1.07
decisions			
How lifestyle influences personal investment decisions	3.15	1.14	1.29
How tax shelter influences investment decisions undertaken	2.64	1.76	3.10
by an individual			
by an individual How desire to change a career influences investment decisions How lifestyle influences personal investment decisions How tax shelter influences investment decisions undertaken	3.67 3.15	1.03	1.07

Source(Author, 2019).

Table 4.12 results shows that majority of the respondent's investment decision is influenced to a great extent by their desire to change their career with a mean of 3.67. While, tax shelter influenced investment decisions to a moderate extent with a mean of 2.64. It was observed that retirement, cashflow and lifestyle influenced investment decisions to a moderate extent with means of 3.32, 3.44 and 3.15 respectively. The findings above demonstrates that spread of data set is low i.e indicating that the data points are close to the mean hence the data on motivators of investment collected from the sample distribution represents the population. This is seen from the means which range from 2.64 to 3.67 as measures of central tendency while standard deviation and variance as measures of variability range from 1.03 to 1.76 and from 0.99 to 1.68 respectively.

4.6 Analysis in Relation to the Objectives of the Study

This study was based on four objectives whose focus was to assess the effects of financial literacy on personal investment decisions of secondary school Teachers working with Teachers Service Commission at Machakos Sub County.

4.6.1 Interest Rate and Investment Decisions

The first objective sought to examine the influence of Knowledge on interest rates on investment decisions of TSC Teachers in Machakos Sub County. All the respondents were subjected to the same type of questions calibrated on a five point categorical scale whereby 1 represented Totally disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Not sure, 4 = Agree and 5 = Totally agree. The analysis of the study was as shown in table 4.13.

Table 4.13 interest rate and investment decisions

Interest rate	Mean	Std. deviation	Variance
I take loans based on prevailing interest rates.	3.32	1.365	1.864
I am able to calculate the trends in interest rates of any	3.08	1.118	1.25
credit facility.			
I consider product cost before making an investment	3.13	1.087	1.182
decision.			
I base my investment decisions on past performance of	3.68	1.031	1.064
organisation product cost.			

From the table 4.13, It can be observed that majority of the teachers agreed on basing their investment decisions on past performance of organization product cost (Mean = 3.68). However, they were not sure on whether they can calculate the trends in interest rates or consider product cost before making an investment decision or take loans based on prevailing interest rate with Means of 3.08, 3.13 and 3.32 respectively.

The findings above show that the spread of data set is low meaning that the data points are close to the mean hence the data on Interest rates and investment decisions collected from the sample distribution represents the population. This is indicated from the means which range from 3.08 to 3.68 as measures of central tendency while standard deviation and variance as measures of variability range from 1.031 to 1.365 and from 1.25 to 1.84 respectively.

4.6.2 Inflation and Investment Decisions

The second objective sought to evaluate the effect of knowledge on risk diversification on investment decisions undertaken by TSC teachers in Machakos Sub County. The measurement scale adopted was a five point scale in which a score of 1 represented totally disagree, disagree(2), unsure(3), agree(4) and totally agree(5). The analysis from the teachers was as per table 4.14.

Table 4.14 Inflation and investment decision

Inflation characteristics	Mean	Std. deviation	Variance
I am aware of the prevailing inflation rates when making	3.2	1.18	1.4
investment decisions.			
I consider time value of money when making an	3.5	0.96	0.92
investment decision.			
I am able to factor inflation when calculating the	3.2	1.14	1.31
expected return for my investments.			

These results indicated that majority of the respondents agreed that they made consideration to time value of money when making an investment decision (mean = 3.5). However, they were un sure of the prevailing inflation rates and also whether they factored inflation when calculating the expected return for their investment(mean = 3.2). The findings above show that the spread of data set is low meaning that the data points are close to the mean hence the data on Inflation and investment decision used from the sample distribution represents the population. This is indicated from the means which range from 3.2 to 3.5 as measures of central tendency while standard deviation and variance as measures of variability range from .96 to 1.18 and from 0.92 to 1.4 respectively.

4.6.3 Risk Diversification and Investment Decisions

The third objective of the study was to find out the impact of knowledge on risk diversification on investment decisions undertaken by TSC teachers. All the respondents were subjected to the same type of questions calibrated on a five point categorical scale whereby 1 represented Totally disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Not sure, 4 = Agree and 5 = Totally agree. The analysis of the study was as shown in table 4.15.

Table 4.15 Risk diversification and investment decisions

Risk diversification	Mean	Std deviation	Variance
I am able to calculate the expected risks on my investments	3.1	1.022	1.044
I am able to measure the best investment portfolio to invest in	3.18	1.010	1.018
I have diversified my assets as a way of managing risks	3.15	1.005	1.01
I can assess the performance of a fund or managed investment.	3.33	1.042	1.086
I have a decision-making strategy on purchasing stocks.	3.34	1.023	1.046
I have a retirement benefits plan for my future.	3.52	1.003	1.006
Effectively balancing credit and debt helps me achieve some short term and long-term goals. Source(Author, 2010)	3.55	1.002	1.004

From the findings, majority agreed that balancing credit and debt helped them achieve short and long term goals, and also agreed to having retirement benefits plan for their future. This was indicated from the means of 3.55 and 3.52 respectively. However, the rest of the respondents were not sure of their ability to calculate expected risks, measurement of investment portfolio, diversification of assets, accessment of a fund and whether they had a strategy on purchasing stocks.

The study findings in the table above show that the spread of data set is low meaning that the data points are close to the mean hence the data on risk diversification and investment decisions obtained from the sample distribution is a true reflection of the population. This is indicated from the means which range from 3.1 to 3.55 as measures of central tendency while standard deviation and variance as measures of variability range from 1.002 to 1.042 and from 1.004 to 1.086 respectively.

4.6.4 Financial Education and Investment Decisions

The fourth objective was based on influence of financial education on investment decisions of TSC teachers. Out of 91 respondents it was found that 46.2% had financial academic knowledge attained by either attending a seminar, workshop or enrolling in

an academic institution while 53.8% lacked such academic knowledge and this points to the reasons behind financial illiteracy of teachers in matters of investment. The report on their level of academic knowledge indicated that 48.8% were at certificate level, 25.6% had a diploma, 14% had undergraduate degree while 11.6% had a post graduate degree in financial education. The respondents were asked whether they understand financial statements, have a personal budget and whether they trust financial professionals and trust their recommendations. The responses were then awarded cumulative scores as follows; totally disagree(1), disagree(2), not sure (3), agree(4) and totally agree(5). The findings were represented in table 4.16.

Table 4.16 Financial education and investment decisions

N =91							
	Mean	Std. deviation	Variance				
I understand financial statements	3.18	1.05	1.1				
I have developed spending and saving	3.51	0.822	0.68				
plan(Personal budget)							
I would trust financial professionals and trust what	3.07	1.153	1.33				
they recommend	•						

Source(Author, 2019).

From the table, it is clear that majority of the respondents agree that they developed a spending and saving plan with a mean of 3.51. The respondents were not sure on whether they understood financial statements (mean = 3.18) as well as whether they would trust financial professionals and their recommendations (3.07). The study above shows that the spread of data set is low meaning that the data points are close to the mean hence the data on financial education and investment decisions obtained from the sample distribution is a representative of the population. This is indicated from the

means which range from 3.07 to 3.51 as measures of central tendency while standard deviation and variance as measures of variability range from 0.822 to 1.153 and from 0.68 to 1.33 respectively.

4.7 Multiple Regression Analysis

Mutiple regression analysis is a statistical method used to predict the values of two or more independent variables (Orotho, 2009).

4.7.1 Model summary

Table 4.17 Model summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the
				Estimate
1	0.839 ^a	0.703	0.690	0.626

a. Predictors: (Constant), financial education, risk diversification, inflation, interest rates.

The study results in the table above show the extent to which the predictor variables accounts for the overall variability of personal investment decision by TSC Teachers. In the model, correlation coefficient(R) indicates the relationship between the study variables and from the findings shown in the table, 0.839 shows a strong positive relationship between the variables. R-squared measures the proportion of the variation in the dependent variable (Y) explained by the independent variables (X) for a linear regression model. It gives the percentage of explained variation as if all independent variables in the model affect the dependent variable, whereas the adjusted R-squared gives the percentage of variation explained by only those independent variables that in reality affect the dependent variable. Adjusted R-squared adjusts the statistic based on the number of independent variables in the model. The adjusted R-squared compares the descriptive power of regression models that include diverse numbers of predictors. Every predictor added to a model increases R-squared and never decreases it (Kothari, 2011).

The R Square of 0.703 indicate that the predictor variables; financial education, risk diversification, inflation, interest rates as given in the study affects the personal investment decision of TSC Teachers by 70.3% while other external factors accounts

for 29.7 percent.. The adjusted R Square indicate that suppose the whole population was involved in the study rather than a sample, then the response would be 31% (1-0.690) less variant.

4.7.2 Analysis of Variance

Table 4.18 Anova

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	79.908	4	19.977	50.985	.000 ^b
1	Residual	33.696	86	.392		
	Total	113.604	90			

a. Dependent variable: Personal investment decision by TSC Teachers

The ANOVA analysis aims at investigating whether the variation in the independent variables give an account on the observed variance in the outcome of this study. The intention of the ANOVA is to test differences in means (for groups or variables) for statistical significance. The completeness is by analyzing the variance, which is by splitting the total variance into the component that is due to true random error and the components that are due to differences between means. The ANOVA results indicate that the independent variables significantly in the F-Statistics produced (F=50.985) was significant at 0 per cent level (Sig. F<.05) thus confirming the fitness of the model. From the ANOVA statistics in table above, that test the significance of the regression model obtained from the processed data, gives a significance level of 0.05 meaning that the data used is ideal for making conclusions on the population's parameter as the value of significance (p-value) is less than 0.05 hence, the model was statistically significant.

b. Predictors: (Constant), Financial education, risk diversification, inflation, interest rates.

4.7.3 Correlations

Table 4.19 correlations

Correlations		Investment Decision	Interest Rates	Inflation	Risk Diversificattion	Financial	Education
	Pearson	1					
Investment	Correlation	1					
Decision	Sig. (2-tailed)						
	N	91					
	Pearson	.501**	1				
Interest Rates	Correlation	.501	1				
mieresi Kates	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000					
	N	91	91				
	Pearson	220**	7.0**	1			
T Cl	Correlation	.329**	.768**	1			
Inflation	Sig. (2-tailed)	.001	.000				
	N	91	91	91			
Risk	Pearson Correlation	.226*	.893**	.848**	1		
Diversification	Sig. (2-tailed)	.031	.000	.000			
	N	91	91	91	91		
	Pearson	ماد بال	alo etc	-4-روان	والمناسقين		
	Correlation	.697**	.909**	.783**	.755**	1	
Financial Education	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000		
	N	91	91	91	91	91	

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The findings in the above table indicate that there is a positive correlation between personal investment decision by TSC Teachers and interest rates (r=0.501) and that the correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed), given that p-value (0.000) is

^{*.} Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

less than alpha (0.01). The findings also indicate that there is a positive correlation between personal investment decision by TSC Teachers and inflation(r=.329**) and that the correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed), given that p-value (0.001) is less than alpha (0.01). The findings also show that correlation is positive at (r=0.226) for risk diversification and that the correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed), given that p-value (0.031) is less than alpha (0.05). Lastly, the table shows that there is a positive correlation between personal investment decision by TSC Teachers and financial education (r=0.697) and that the correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed), given that p-value (0.000) is less than alpha (0.01).

4.7.4 Coefficients of Determination

Table 4.20 Coefficients

		Unstanda	ardized	Standardized	t	Sig.
Coe	fficients	Coefficie	ents	Coefficients		
		В	Std. Error	Beta	_	
	(Constant)	2.231	0.146		15.230	0.000
	Interest Rates	0.023	0.232	0.025	0.100	0.0092
	Inflation	0.137	0.123	0.158	1.117	0.0267
1	Risk Diversification	0.557	0.180	0.618	3.090	0.003
	Financial Education	1.161	0.173	1.265	6.722	0.000

a. Dependent variable: Personal investment decision by TSC Teachers

$$Y = 2.231 + 0.023 X_1 + 0.137 X_2 + 0.557 X_3 + 1.161 X_4 + 0.146$$

Where

Y = The dependent variable (Personal investment decision)

 X_1 = Interest rate index

 X_2 = Inflation index

X₃= Risk diversification index

 X_4 = Financial education index

e = Error term.

The study results in the above table indicate that holding other factors constant, there is a positive relationships between personal investment decision by TSC Teachers and predictor variables i.e Interest rates, inflation, risk diversification and financial education. The relationship shows that personal investment decision by TSC Teachers will increase by a factor of 0.023 in interest rates, by a factor of 0.137 in inflation, by a factor of 0.557 in risk diversification and by a factor of 1.161 in financial education. Testing at 0.05 significant level, the study was significant at p<0.05 using a two-tail test.

CHAPTER FIVE

5.0 DISCUSSION OF THE RESEARCH FINDINGS

5.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses the results of demographic data, personal investment decisions and the study objectives.

5.2 Demographic Information

The findings of the study indicated a sastifactory response rate as per table 4.2. Mugenda and Mugenda, (2003), notes that a response rate greater than seventy percent is taken as very good. The gender analysis report indicated that there was gender equality in the research due to the TSC recruitment policies that enhance gender parity as shown by table 4.3. The study further showed that teachers level of education was sufficient in answering the study questions as well as enhancing financial literacy. Lusardi and Mitchel,(2012), points out that the level of education improves financial decision making in general, stock market participation, pension fund management, asset diversification and evaluation of the self-financial literacy.

Based on the income of the respondents, their earnings did not seem to match the capital requirements expected in real estates thus low investment decisions undertaken and low financial awareness as shown by table 4.6. Monticone (2010), finds that people with higher incomes are more likely to be financially knowledgeable. The demographic analysis is in support of the study by Obamuyi (2013), who noted that the socioeconomic characteristics of investors such as age, gender and educational qualifications have significantly influenced investment decisions of investors. The study pointed out that majority of teachers were ordinary classroom teachers with their lower percentage being at administrative positions as shown in table 4.7. Decision making could probably improve based on positions held due to increased financial responsibility thus departmental heads, deputies and principals had better financial awareness.

5.3 Personal Investment Decisions by TSC Teachers

Based on parameters of investment decision making in general market, majority of the Teachers opted to invest in real estates due to its stability against inflationary pressure and rental income. There was underinvestment in transport industry by the teachers as the industry faced stiff challenges from Matatu operators and traffic police making it unprofitable as shown in table 4.8. However, low participation in shares of listed companies and government bonds translates to either low financial literacy or lack of interest following previous experiences where oversubscriptions in initial public offers yielded dismal returns. Lusardi and Mitchel (2007), noted that consumers suffer from financial illiteracy and are impatient as they choose immediate satisfaction over future higher pay offs. The high percentages in SACCO participation by respondents owed to aggressive campaigns of awareness in schools by marketing teams.

The majority of the teachers could only afford to invest eleven to twenty percent due to their constrained income since they relied on their salary as the major source for all their monthly expenditure as shown by table 4.9. Hastings and Mitchel (2010), supports these findings as they indicated that consumers undersave for retirement, take too much debt, make poor mortgage decisions, and experience other problems in the modern financial environment. However, they expected the greatest return from the investment decisions undertaken. It was noted that motivation to invest was driven to a great extent by their desire to change career since majority of the teachers were advancing their education in pursuit of greener pastures and to a moderate extent by their cashflow needs, retirement consideration, individual lifestyle and tax shelter as revealed by table 4.12.

5.4. Interest Rate and Investment Decisions

The findings indicate that there was a positive correlation between Personal Investment Decision by TSC Teachers and Interest Rates . Thus, an increase in the knowledge of interest rate by the teachers would consequently improve their investment decisions. From the table 4.13, majority of the teachers agreed to basing their investment decisions on past performance of organization product cost. However, they were not sure on whether they can calculate the trends in interest rates or consider product cost before

making an investment decision or take loans based on prevailing interest rate. These teachers who where undecided reflects their low levels of financial literacy. According to Wuhan, Suyuan and Khurshid (2015), found out that when the investment income is constant, rise in interest rates raises the cost of investment thus making investors with low income to pull out from those investments hence decreasing demand for investment. However, when there is decline in interest rates the investment costs reduce encouraging investment of individual investors. Those who were not sure of calculation of interest rates were in support of previous studies of Alvarez, (2010), that the uncertainty of interest rate may limit the best individual investment and enterprise scale of production.

5.5 Inflation and Investment Decisions

The findings of the study indicate that there is a positive correlation between Personal Investment Decision by TSC Teachers and Inflation. This implies that the more the knowledge of inflation the better the investment decisions. The results of table 4.14 indicated that majority of the respondents agreed that they made consideration to time value of money when making an investment decision. However, they were not sure of the prevailing inflation rates and whether they incorporated inflation when calculating the expected return for their investment. Hence, indicating aspects of financial illiteracy from those teachers who were neutral on their responses. According to empirical reviews from Njogo, Ohiaeri and Inim (2018), the inflationary forces get rid of the worth of money, thus subjecting a given unit of money to purchase fewer goods. Review by Berceanu, (2014), noted that determination of net present value of investment project when estimating cash flows should take into account inflation in the costs and revenues. Since the investment decisions are based on anticipated future cash flows, the anticipated inflation should be reflected in the expected profitability of the project or the cost related to the capital project. Failure to take it into account, the effects of inflation can result to a wrong analysis on capital budgeting leading to a lower return of capital below the cost of capital.

5.6 Risk Diversification and Investment Decisions

The findings show that there is a positive correlation between risk diversification and investment decisions made by TSC teachers. From the findings of table 4.15, majority of the teachers agreed that balancing credit and debt helped them achieve short and long term goals, and also agreed to having retirement benefits plan for their future. However, the rest of the respondents were not sure of their ability to calculate expected risks, measurement of investment portfolio, diversification of assets, accessment of a fund and whether they had a strategy on purchasing stocks.

Teachers are therefore exposed to unanticipated risks, non optimal portfolios and failure to mitigate and manage risks due to their inability to diversify assets. Documented evidence from Kothari, Laguerre & Leone (2002), shows that imperfect diversification of an individual as well as firm's decision maker's portfolio, negatively affects one's propensity to take risks. They pointed that a channel through which decision makers can impact their individual and firms' risk taking and the resulting variability of both individual or firms' cash flows is investment strategy. According to earlier findings of Shiroka etal, (2012), there is a limit to the number of assets for portfolio diversification, an excess of which would only bring negative effects. The combination of assets in portfolio has an effect in reduction of risks. Diversification helps in decreasing of non-systematic risk, which consequently is classified as diversifiable risk. Their findings were that the more the assets in a portfolio, the better the return and the lower the risk of the investment.

5.7 Financial Education and Investment Decisions

The study showed positive relationship between Personal Investment Decision by TSC Teachers and Financial Education. It was revealed that those Teachers with financial education would make most quality investment decisions due to its greatest influence to decision making as per model coefficients. A higher percentage of teachers lacked academic financial education explaining the existence of the financial illiteracy among teachers in matters of investment as shown in table 4.16. From the table, it is clear that

majority of the respondents agree that they developed a spending and saving plan. The respondents were not sure on whether they understood financial statements as well as whether they would trust financial professionals and their recommendations. These findings shows why there exists investment sub optimal decisions, costs related to trial and error methods in investments projects undertaken emanating from failure to seek professional advice and over borrowing. The findings of the study are in support of Wagne, (2015), who argued that financial education improves individual decisions on saving, investing, budgeting, borrowing, retirement planning, insurance as well as promotion of knowledge on consumption decisions. According to Fernandes, Lynch and Netemeyer (2013), financial education intervention affected financial literacy and financial behavior in individual saving, planning for retirement, debt management, stock ownership, investment decisions, cash flow management and financial inertia such as choice of default options and payment of unnecessary fees.

CHAPTER SIX

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Introduction

The study aimed at investigating the effects of financial literacy on personal investment decisions of teachers working with Teachers Service Commission at Machakos Sub County. The chapter gives the conclusions and recommendations based on the objectives of the study.

6.2 Conclusions of the Study

6.2.1 Financial Literacy and Demographic Information such as Age, Gender, Income and Education

The study concludes that since there is fairly equal representation in terms of gender in the workforce, both have equal chance of making an investment decision. However, with standardized job group cadres the level of investment can vary with the income of an individual as well as willingness to sacrifice the income for investment. This is in support of Monticone (2010), who noted that people with higher incomes are more likely to be financially knowledgeable. Since they can access information through purchase of journals, attendance to a seminar or enrolment to a formal course in finance. The study further concludes that education level can significantly influence investment decisions. Lusardi (2014) noted that the same way it was not possible to live in an industrialised society without print literacy so it is not possible to live in today's world without being financially literate. The higher the level of education the better the investment decision, supporting the findings of Lusardi and Mitchel,(2012), who pointed out that the level of education improves financial decision making in general, stock market participation, pension fund management, asset diversification and evaluation of the self-financial literacy.

6.2.2 Knowledge of Interest Rates and Personal Investment Decisions

The study concludes that there is a positive correlation between Knowledge in interest rates and investment decisions. Teachers thus need to understand how to apply knowledge of interest rates in savings and borrowing since it affects their investment decisions directly. In earlier findings of lane & Rosewall, (2015), It is important that individuals acquint themselves with knowledge of interest rates since it affects

economic activity through saving and spending behavior of investors, borrowing, asset pricing and the exchange rate, all of which affect the level of aggregate demand.

6.2.3 Knowledge of Inflation and Personal Investment Decisions

The study concluded that there is a significant correlation between inflation and investment decisions. Therefore, Teachers must have the necessary knowledge about inflation so as to improve the quality of their investment decisions. Njogo etal,(2018), pointed out that the inflationary pressure scrape away the worth of money, thus making a given unit of money to purchase fewer goods. It further generates artificial capital gain which is normally subjected to capital gains tax thus creating a tax liability and that a rising inflation endanger individual as well as corporate growth.

6.2.4 Knowledge of Risk Diversification and Investment Decisions

The study concludes that there is a positive correlation between risk diversification and investment decisions. Individual Teachers should be capable of identifying investment risks that endanger their business venture and be equipped with necessary preventive as well as corrective measures. They too ought to have Knowledge on establishment of correct portfolio of assets through organized seminars or relevant trainings. Shiroka, Berisha and Ahmeti, (2012), earlier noted that diversification helps in decreasing of non-systematic risk, which consequently is classified as diversifiable risk. The more the assets in a portfolio, the better the return and the lower the risk of the investment.

6.2.5 Knowledge of Financial Education and Investment Decisions

This study concludes that there is a very strong correlation between knowledge in financial education and personal investment decisions made by TSC teachers. Those with financial education were observed to have an upper hand while making investment decisions. Wangeci, (2017), concurs with this study's findings that financial knowledge of employees is very low on financial products such as mutual funds, mortgages as well as stocks and shares. It was observed that the employees had low financial skills to negotiate for better rates of return on investment products and thus financial decisions had huge influence on individual financial security and standards of living as they boosted ability to manage financial affairs prudently.

6.3 Recommendations

6.3.1 Policy Recommendations

The study recommends that the government through ministry of education to introduce finance units at both primary and secondary level and be made compulsory to all educational degree courses. The study further recommends for legislative guidelines on interest rates applied in mobile banking and microfinance institutions to encourage uniformity in all lending and borrowing institutions. Further more, legislation be made on policies that regulate the prices of key products such as fuel cost, to help curb inflation. More also, the Teachers servise commission should organize for capacity building initiatives such as providing seminars, workshops and finance journals to equip those Teachers already employed with financial concepts. Finally, teachers service commission should partner with investment agencies in providing information on saving, investment and borrowing to enhance informed investment decision by teachers.

6.3.2 Recommendations to Practitioners

The researcher recommends to the teachers that they should pool their resources and ideas and identify best investment portfolio to mitigate individual impact on risk. The study recommends that Sensitization be made on importance of seeking professional expertise from financial advisors, investment analysts and portfolio experts to enhance investment decision making by all secondary school teachers. In addition, Teachers are encouraged to insure their investments against anticipated risks in insurance companies. The researcher recommends that individual Teachers should invest more in real estates which tend to offer constant and certain return, protect their savings from taxation by investing in areas which are tax free or with tax relieves, Negotiate for a cheap loan product with low lending interest rate and avoid top ups of loans to reduce higher cost as new products tend to be with better terms and finally use savings more than borrowing. These efforts will reduce the inflationary effects experienced at individual investors.

6.3.3 Recommendations for Further Study

The researcher recommends that extensive study be done on impact of risk on personal investment decisions to help those teachers who have the capital but cannot take investment risk. In addition, the study recommends for further study to investigate the relationship between financial education and actual investment undertaken by teachers. The study further recommends that more research be done to find out the non financial factors that influence investment decisions among teachers. Finally, the researcher recommends a study on financial literacy among all employees in Kenya to help foster overall growth of GDP.

REFERENCES

- Alvarez, H. R, (2010). Irreversible capital accumulation under interest rate uncertainty, Mathematical Methods of Operations Research, Vol.72, Issue 2, pp. 249-271.
- Alvares H.R & Koskela E, (2004). "Irreversible investment under interest rate variability: new results," others 0404007, University Library of Munich, Germany.
- Amoah, R. (2016). Assessing the level and impact of financial literacy on African Americans, Dissertation from Warden university, pp128-138.
- Anderson, R. Duru, A. and Reeb, (2012), Investment policy in family controlled Firms, Journal of Banking and Finance 36, 1744-1758.
- Ayieko, F. (2004). Boom in Apartment Blocks Transforming Nairobi Suburbs. The East African,14-15.
- Bader, M. and Ahmad, L. (2015) The impact of interest rate on investment in Jordan, international Journal pp 200-208.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Social Learning Theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Berceanu, D. (2014). Inflation influence about investment decisions. University of Craiova pp 320-327.
- Bernheim, B. and Garrett, D. (2003). The effects of financial education in the workplace: Evidence from a survey of households". Journal of Public Economics 87, 1487-1519.
- Borg, W. R., & Gall, M. D.(1989). Educational Research: An Introduction (5th ed). New York: Longman.
- Breger, L. & Mcgaugh, J. (1965). Critique and Reformulation of "Learning-Theory" Approaches to Psychotherapy and Neurosis. Psychological bulletin. 63. 338-358. 10.1037/h0021788.
- Calvet, E. and Sodini, p. (2014). Disentangling the Determinants of Risk-Taking in Household Portfolios. Journal of Finance. 69(2): 897-906.
- Chen, H. and Volpe, R. (2002). Gender Differences in Personal Financial Literacy among College Students, Financial Services Review, Vol.11, pp. 289-307.
- Demsetz, H. and Lehn, (2015). The structure of corporate ownership: Causes and consequences", Journal of Political Economy 92, 1155-1177.

- Dynan, E., Skinner, J. and Stephen P. (2002). Do the Rich Save More?" Journal of Political Economy112 (2), pp. 397-444.
- Fisher, G. (2013) Investment choice and inflation uncertainty, working paper pp 3-39.
- Fischer, G. and Gerhardt, R. (2007), Investment Mistakes of Individual Investors and the Impact of Financial Advice. Journal.
- Githui, J. (2012) Perception of retirement by teachers in public secondary schools in Nairobi County, pp 37-43.
- Goldhaber, D. (2000). *Theories of human development: Integrative perspectives. Mountain View,* CA: Mayfield Publishing Company.
- Grinblatt, M., Keloharju, M. and Juhani, L. (2011). *Intelligence quotient and Stock Market Participation*. Journal of Finance 66(6): 2121-2164.
- Hastings, J. and Mitchel, O. (2010), How financial literacy and impatience shape retirement wealth and investment behaviors, Working paper 4.
- Hastings, J., Mitchell, O. and Chyn, E. (2011). Fees, Framing, and Financial Literacy in the Choice of Pension Managers. In Financial Literacy: Implications for Retirement Security and the Financial Marketplace. Eds.
- Ingersoll, J. and Ross, E. (2012), Waiting to Invest. Investment and Uncertainty". Journal of Business, Vol 65, pg. 1-29.
- Jagongo, A. and Mutswenje, V. (2014). Factors Influencing Investment Decisions at the Nairobi Stock Exchange. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 4(4), 11-14.
- Jordan, B.D. & Miller, T.W. Jr. (2008). Fundamentals of Investments Valuation and Management (4th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Kothari, S. Laguerre, T. and Leone, (2002). Capitalization versus expensing:

 Evidence on the uncertainty of future earnings from capital expenditures

 versus R&D outlays, Review of Accounting Studies 7, 355-382.
- Kothari C. R. (2011). Research Methodology. Methods and Techniques. New Age International Publishers. New Delhi. India.
- Kunyiha, E. (2015). Influence of Teachers service commission human resource management practices on teacher commitment in public secondary schools in Tetu sub county, Nyeri. A research project, University of Nairobi pp 1-28.
- Lane, K., and Rosewall, T. (2015). "Firms Investment Decisions and Interest Rates."

- Bulletin Reserve, Bank of Australia, 1-7.
- Lusardi, A., and Mitchell, O. (2006). *Baby boomer retirement security: The roles of planning, financial literacy, and housing wealth*". Journal of Monetary Economics, 54(1), 205-224.
- Lusardi, A. and Mitchell, O. (2007). Financial literacy and retirement planning: New evidence from the Rand American Life Panel. Michigan Retirement Research Center Research Paper No 157.
- Lusardi, A., Mitchell, O. (2009). How Ordinary Consumers Make Complex Economic Decisions: Financial Literacy and Retirement Readiness". Vol. 15350. Cambridge.
- Lusardi, A., and Mitchell, O. (2011). Financial literacy around the world, an overview. Journal of Pension Economics and Finance, 10(04), 497-508.
- Lusardi, A. and Mitchelli, O. (2007). Financial literacy and retirement preparedness: Evidence and implications for financial education". Business Economics, 42(1), 35-44.
- Lusardi, A. and Mitchell, O. (2014). *The economic importance of financial literacy, Theory and evidence*. Journal of Economic Literature 52 (1): 5-44.
- Mahdzan, N. and Tabiani (2013). The impact of financial literacy on individual saving; an explanatory study in the context, Transformations in the business and economics, vol 12 pg 41-45.
- Mahmood, H. (2011). Behavioural Implications of Investors for Investments in the Stock Market. European Journal of Social Science, 20, 23-34.
- Modigliani, F. & Brumberg, R. (2012). Utility analysis and the consumption function:

 An interpretation of cross-section data. in K. K. Kurihara (Ed.), Post-keynesian economics New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Monticone, C. (2010). How much does wealth matter in the acquisition of financial literacy?" Journal of Consumer Affairs44 (2) (Summer): 3-22.
- Mugenda, A. and Mugenda, O. (2003). Readings in Research Methods: Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches, African Centre for Technology Studies: Nairobi, Kenya.
- Musundi, K. (2014) The effects of financial literacy on personal investment decisions in real estate in Nairobi County, pg 12-17.

- Mutuku, S. (2015). The effects of financial literacy on personal investment decisions amongst employees of seventh day Adventist church in Kenya. Pg 7-64.
- Nagy, R. and Obenberger, R. (1994). Factors Influencing Individual Investor Behavior. Financial Analysts Journal, 50(4), 63-68.
- Natalie, C. (2010). Framework for Assessing Financial Literacy and Superannuation Investment Choice Decisions. Australasian Accounting Business and Finance Journal. 5 (2), 23-27.
- Njogo, B., Ohiaeri, N. and Inim, V. (2018). Relationship between stock returns and inflation rates, from Nigeria between 1994 to 2014, International journal Volume one pp 510- 522.
- Nye, K., Pete, W. & Cinnamon H. (2013). Personal Financial Behavior: The Influence of Quantitative Literacy and Material Values. Numeracy, 6 (1):23-26.
- Obamuyi, T. (2013). Factors Influencing Investment Decisions in Capital Market. A Study of Individual Investors in Nigeria. Organizations and Markets in Emerging Economies.4, 1(7).
- OECD. (2008). Recommendations on good practices for financial education relating to private pensions, OECD publishing.pg 447–479.
- Orodho, A. J.(2009). Techniques of writing research proposals and reports in Educational and social Sciences,(2nd edition) Nairobi: Kaneja H.P Enterprises.
- Owusu, E. (2015). Assessing the level of financial literacy among teachers of Ghana, pp 1-45.
- Reid, N. (2006). Thoughts on attitude measurement. Research in Science and Technological Education, 24(1), 3-27.
- Roberts, C. & Henneberry, J. (2007). Exploring office investment decision-making in different European contexts. Journal of Property Investment and Finance, 25(3), 289-305.
- Shiroka, J., Berisha, G. and Ahmeti, S. (2012). The Impact of Portfolio diversification in the Performance and the Risk of Investments of Kosovo Pension Savings Trust", Journal of Business and Economics, ISSN 2155-7950, USA March 2012, Volume3, No. 3, pg. 198-211.
- Sloan, W. (2012). Should schools teach financial literacy? Education Update 54(8): 1-7.

- Shefrin, H. and Statman, M. (1985). The disposition to Sell Winners Too Early and Ride Losers Too Long: Theory and Evidence. The Journal of Finance, 40(3), 777-790.
- Taylor, S. (2013). The Solution to the Financial Literacy Problem: What is the Answer? Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal, 7(3), 69-90.
- Thaler, R., and Shefrin, H. (1981). An Economic Theory of Self-Control. Journal of Political Economy, 89(2), 392.
- Wagner, J. (2015) An analysis of the effects of financial education on financial literacy and financial behavior, Dissertation from pp 52-70.
- Wangeci, A. (2017) Effects of Financial Literacy on Personal Financial Decisions
 Among Egerton University Employees, Nakuru County, Kenya. International
 Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sciences. Vol.5, No.3, pp. 173
 -181.
- Warner, N.D. (1968). A Tutorial Introduction to Decision Theory. IEEE Transactions on Systems Science and Cybernetics, 4, (3)12-24.
- Worthington, C. (2001). An empirical survey of frontier efficiency measurement techniques in education. Education Economics, 9(3), 245-268.
- Wuhan, L., Suyuan, M. and Khurshid, A. (2015). The effect of interest rate on Investment. Empirical evidence of Jiangsu Province, China, Vol. 8, No 1, pg. 81-90.
- Zhou, M. and Brown, D. (2017) "Educational Learning Theories: 2nd Edition".

 Education open Textbooks. vol 1. Dalton state college.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I: DATA COLLECTION LETTER



SOUTH EASTERN KENYA UNIVERSITY

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR BOARD OF POST GRADUATE STUDIES

P.O. BOX 170-90200 KITUI, KENYA Email: info@seku.ac.ke TEL 020-4213859 (KITUI)

Email. directorbps@seku.ac.ke

Our Ref: D61/MAC/20629/2015

DATE: 15st March, 2019

King'ondu Justus Kanywa Re g. No. D61/MAC/20629/2015 Masters of Business Administration C/O Director, Machakos Campus

Dear Kanywa

RE: PERMISSION TO PROCEED FOR DATA COLLECTION

This is to acknowledge receipt of your Master in Business Administration Proposal document entitled. "Internal "Assessing the Effect of Financial Literacy on Personal Investment Decisions of Teachers Working with Teachers Service Commission in Machakos Sub-County".

Following a successful presentation of your Masters Proposal, the School of Business and Economics Board of Examination in conjunction with the Directorate, Board of Postgraduate Studies (BPS) have approved that you proceed on and carry out research data collection in accordance with your approved proposal.

During the research work, you will be closely supervised by Dr. Robert Ombati. You should ensure that you liase with the supervisor at all times. In addition, you are required to fill in a Progress Report (SEKU/ARSA/BPS/F-02) which can be downloaded from the University Website.

The Board of Postgraduate Studies wishes you well and a successful research data collection exercise as a critical stage in your Master of Business Administration.

Prof. Felix Ngunzo Kioli

Director, Board of Postgraduate Studies

Copy to: Deputy Vice Chancellor, Academic, Research and Students Affairs (Note on File)

Dean, School of Business and Economics

Chairman, Department of Business and Entrepreneurship

Director, Machakos Campus

Dr. Robert Ombati

BPS Office - To file

ARID TO GREEN ISO 9001 2015 CERTIFIED TRANSFORMING LIVE

APPENDIX II: QUESTIONNAIRE

Instructions: Kindly take a few minutes to respond to this questionnaire. Information supplied is purely for academic research purposes and will be treated with utmost confidentiality.

PART ONE

1.	What is your gender?[Tick	on	ie]						
	Male	[]	Fe	male	[]		
2.	Please tick your age bracke	et							
	20 – 30	[]	31	-40	[]		
	41 – 50]	50	- 60	[]		
3.	What is your highest level	of o	educat	ion?					
	Diploma level]]						
	Undergraduate]]						
	Post graduate]]						
	Doctorate of Philosophy	[]						
4.	Estimate your monthly inc	om	e						
	Below 30000	[]						
	30001 - 50000	[]						
	50001 - 70000]]						
	70001 - 90000	[]						
	Above 90000	[]						
	5. Indicate the position y	ou	hold a	t Scho	ool level				
	Principal []		Dep	uty principal	[]		
	Senior teacher []		Dear	n of studies	[]		
	Head of department []		Tea	cher	[]		
	PART TWO: PERSONA	LI	INVES	STME	ENT DESIO	N M	IAKING		
6.	What would you describe	as y	our pr	eferal	ole type of in	vest	ment?		
	a) Investments in gene	eral	l mark	et					
	Retail/Wholesale shop		[]	Real estate			[]
	Hardware		[]	Saloon			[]
	Transport e.g Matatu indus	stry	[]	Hotel, food	and	beverage	[]
	b) Investments in fina	nci	al mar	ket					

	Bank deposits e.g Savings [] Sacco Shares		[]		
	Shares from listed companies []						
	Government bonds, corporate bonds, debentures & preference	ce share	es []		
	c) If your investment option is not in the above list, p	olease i	ndi	cate	the t	ype o	f
	business you prefer/do in the space provided.						
7.	. Generally, what proportion of your income would you prefer	r to inv	est?	,			
	1-10% [] $11-20%$ []					
	21 – 30% [] 31 – 40% []					
	41 – 50% [] Above 50% []					
8.	. What duration would you like your investment to last?						
	Less than a year $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1-5 \text{ Years} \end{bmatrix}$]					
	6-10 years [] More than 10 years []					
9.	. What would be your expected return from your investment?						
	Between 5 & 10% [] Between 11 & 15% []					
	Between 16 & 20% [] Between 21 & 25% []					
	Between 25 & 30% [] Above 30% []					
	To what extent are the following motivators for investing	consid	erec	d w	hen r	nakin	g
	investment decision? 1. Very small extent 2. Small extent 3	. Mode	rate	ext	tent 4	. Grea	at
	extent 5. Very great extent.						
	Key motivators for investing		1	2	3	4	5
	10 Retirement						
	11 Cash flow						

PART THREE.

13 Tax shelter

12

Lifestyle change

Career change

SECTION B: Interest rates and investment decisions

Indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements.

Totally disagree (1), Disagree (2), Not sure (3), Agree (4), Totally agree (5).

SN	STATEMENT	1	2	3	4	5
15	I take loans based on prevailing interest rates					
16	I am able to calculate the trends in interest rates of any					
	credit facility					
17	I consider product cost before making an investment					
	decision					
18	I base my investment decisions on past performance of					
	organisation product cost					

SECTION B: Inflation and investment decisions

Indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements.

Totally disagree (1), Disagree (2), Unsure (3), Agree (4), Totally agree (5).

SN	STATEMENT	1	2	3	4	5
19	I am aware of the prevailing inflation rates when making					
	investment decisions.					
20	I consider time value of money when making an					
	investment decision					
21	I am able to factor inflation when calculating the					
	expected return for my investments					

SECTION B: Risk diversification and investment decisions

Indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements.

Totally disagree (1), Disagree (2), Unsure (3), Agree (4), Totally agree (5).

SN	STATEMENT	1	2	3	4	5
22	I am able to calculate the expected risks on my					
	investments					
23	I am able to measure the best investment portfolio to					
	invest in					
24	I have diversified my assets as a way of managing risks					
25	I can assess the performance of a fund or managed					
	investment.					

26	I have a decision making strategy on purchasing stocks			
27	I have a retirement benefits plan for my future			
28	Effectively balancing credit and debt helps me achieve			
	some short term and long term goals			

SECTION B: Financial education and investment decisions

29. Do you have any financial academic knowledge?								
Yes	[] No []							
30. I t	0. I f 'yes' kindly indicate the level of your financial academic knowledge.							
Cert	ificate level [] Diploma level []							
Unde	ergraduate level [] Post graduate level []							
Indic	ate the extent to which you agree with the following staten	nent	s.					
Total	Totally disagree (1), Disagree (2), Not sure (3), Agree (4), Totally agree (5).							
SN	STATEMENT	1	2	3	4	5		
31	I understand financial statements							
32 I have developed spending and saving plan(Personal								
	budget)							
33	I would trust financial professionals and trust what they							
	recommend							

Thank you for your cooperation and participation

APPENDIX III: SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN MACHAKOS SUB COUNTY

SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN MACHAKOS SUB COUNTY

1. KEAA ABC 23. MUA HILLS GIRLS

2. KISEVENI SEC 24. KASEVE

3. METUMA 25.KWANTHANZE 4. KYAMUTHEKE

5. KYAMBUKO 27. UPPER KITANGA

6. MACHAKOS BAPTIST 28.ST. BERNARD MAKYAU

26. KATOLONI

7 KYASILA

29. MIWONGONI 8. MUMBUNI GIRLS 30. MUVUTI GIRLS

9. MIKUINI 31. MUVUTI BOYS

10.VOTA 32. MUINDI MBINGU 11.NGOMENI 33. KITULU DAY

12.ILUVYA 34. ABC IVETI HILLS 13. KYANGULI MEMORIAL 35. KYENI BAPTIST

14.KASINGA 36. KYAANI SEC

15. MUTITUNI 37. AIC KIIMA KIMWE

16.KATELEMBU 38. KYANDA 17 KITHIMA 39.NGELANI 18. MUMBUNI BOYS 40. KITEINI

19. MACHAKOS GIRLS 41. MACHAKOS SCHOOL

20.MUNGALA 42. MACHAKOS SEC FOR THE DEAF

21. KAMUTHANGA 43. MUA FARM

22. KATHEKA KAI



APPENDIX IV: WORK PLAN

	Activity	January-Dec 2	018	Jan -	March	March	- June
				2019		2019	
1	Proposal						
	Development						
2	Data collection						
3	Data analysis						
4	Chapter iv, v &						
	iv						
5	Project						
	presentation						

Source: Author,(2019).

APPENDIX V: RESEARCH BUDGET

	PARTICULARS	RATE(Ksh)	Cost(ksh)
A	Stationery and other resources	1	
	Four reams of ruled papers	450	1800
	Three spring files	40	120
	Binding charges- 7 copies proposal	60	420
	Binding charges – 5 copies of report	700	3500
	Subtotal	5840	
В	Printing services		
	Proposal- 50 pages for 7 copies	10	3500
	Project – 75 pages for 5 copies	10	3750
	Questionnaire – 4 pages for 129 copies	10	5160
	Sub total	1	12410
C	Field work		
	Piloting and data collection		25000
	Field assistant		10000
	Telephone and internet services		5000
	Computerized data analysis		10000
	Sub total		50000
	Total		68250

Source: author, 2019

APPENDIX VI: SPSS OUT FREQUENCY TABLES

Personal Investment Decision by TSC Teachers

		Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
				Percent	Percent
	Interest Rates	15	16.5	16.5	16.5
	Inflation	9	9.9	9.9	26.4
Valid	Risk Indefication	22	24.2	24.2	50.5
Vanu	Financial Education	45	49.5	49.5	100.0
	Total	91	100.0	100.0	

Interest Rates

		Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
				Percent	Percent
	Totally Disagree	30	33.0	33.0	33.0
	Disagree	30	33.0	33.0	65.9
Valid	Not sure	17	18.7	18.7	84.6
v anu	Agree	7	7.7	7.7	92.3
	Totally Agree	7	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	91	100.0	100.0	

Inflation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
				Percent	Percent
	Totally Disagree	31	34.1	34.1	34.1
	Disagree	24	26.4	26.4	60.4
Valid	Not sure	18	19.8	19.8	80.2
Vallu	Agree	10	11.0	11.0	91.2
	Totally Agree	8	8.8	8.8	100.0
	Total	91	100.0	100.0	

Risk Diversification

		Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
				Percent	Percent
	Totally Disagree	24	26.4	26.4	26.4
	Disagree	32	35.2	35.2	61.5
Valid	Not sure	16	17.6	17.6	79.1
v anu	Agree	11	12.1	12.1	91.2
	Totally Agree	8	8.8	8.8	100.0
	Total	91	100.0	100.0	

Financial Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
				Percent	Percent
	Totally Disagree	38	41.8	41.8	41.8
	Disagree	24	26.4	26.4	68.1
Valid	Not sure	16	17.6	17.6	85.7
vanu	Agree	7	7.7	7.7	93.4
	Totally Agree	6	6.6	6.6	100.0
	Total	91	100.0	100.0	