LAND COVER CHANGE AND WOODLAND DEGRADATION IN A CHARCOAL PRODUCING SEMI-ARID AREA IN KENYA

Short title: **CHARCOAL, LAND COVER CHANGE AND WOODLAND DEGRADATION IN KENYA**

Harun M. Kiruki*[ab] , Emma H. van der Zanden[b], Žiga Malek[b] , Peter H. Verburg[b]

Harun M. Kiruki (*corresponding author)
[a]School of Environment & Natural Resources, South Eastern Kenya University, P.O. Box 170 Kitui, Kenya
[b]Environmental Geography Group, Department of Earth Sciences, Vrije Universiteit, de Boelelaan 1087, 1081HV Amsterdam, the Netherlands
email: h.m.kiruki@vu.nl
phone: + 254722668840

Emma H. van der Zanden
[b]Environmental Geography Group, Department of Earth Sciences, Vrije Universiteit, de Boelelaan 1087, 1081HV Amsterdam, the Netherlands
email: emma.vander.zanden@vu.nl
phone: + 31205986517

Žiga Malek
[b]Environmental Geography Group, Department of Earth Sciences, Vrije Universiteit, de Boelelaan 1087, 1081HV Amsterdam, the Netherlands
email: z.malek@vu.nl
phone: + 31205988710

Peter H. Verburg
[b]Environmental Geography Group, Department of Earth Sciences, Vrije Universiteit, de Boelelaan 1087, 1081HV Amsterdam, the Netherlands
email: peter.verburg@vu.nl
phone: + 31205983594

ABSTRACT

Woodlands in Kenya are undergoing land cover change and degradation leading to loss of livelihoods. Uncontrolled charcoal production, though a livelihood source for communities living in woodland areas of Kenya, leads to woodland degradation. We used Landsat imagery, field plot data and household interviews to describe land cover change and the role

of charcoal production in woodland degradation. An unsupervised classification was used to determine land cover change from woodland to open/farmland and five 16 km transects were used to investigate the extent of charcoal production in the target woodlands. Semi structured interviews were conducted on 117 households to understand their perceptions on woodland cover change and the role of charcoal production. The overall accuracy of our classification was 86%. Woodland areas decreased by 24% between 1986 - 2014. The trend of woodland area change compared well between remote sensing and interview data. The density of kilns, a proxy for charcoal led woodland degradation, varied across the sample plots. Despite charcoal providing a livelihood for 66% of the households, the community felt that their environment, wealth and social relations have been affected by land cover changes caused by charcoal production. Based on these results we recommend that appropriate measures aimed at improving the productivity of agriculture, adapting to climate change and reducing dependence on charcoal for sustenance should be encouraged to mitigate woodland cover loss and degradation.

KEYWORDS: Charcoal; woodlands; Landsat imagery; community perceptions, land use

INTRODUCTION

Tropical savannahs and woodlands are a major component of the world's vegetation, covering 1/6 of the land surface and over half of the African continent. They account for about 30% of the primary production of all terrestrial vegetation, playing a crucial role in the energy, water and carbon balance (Ribeiro *et al.,* 2013). Savannahs and woodlands provide a range of goods and services to humans in general, and to local rural communities in particular (Pote *et al.,* 2006; Kalema *et al.,* 2015). Woodlands are therefore a key source of livelihood for over 50 million people in Africa (Campbell *et al*. 2000). Ecological services provided by woodlands include soil quality maintenance through erosion and leaching protection (Ni *et al., 2015*, Zhang *et al.,* 2015) thus avoiding erosion-induced soil quality deterioration which is a major impediment to global food and economic security (Erkossa, 2015).

Woodlands and forests are key in regulation of water flow regimes and maintenance of morphology of water bodies (Keesstra, 2007, Keesstra *et al*., 2009), microclimate regulation (Belsky *et al*., 1989), carbon sequestration (Kalema *et al.,* 2015) and economic benefits in the form of food and energy (Malimbwi *et al.,* 2010). Despite their importance, tropical

savannahs and woodlands are given less attention than tropical rainforest and other ecosystems in the land cover and land use change literature (Grainger, 1999).

Tropical savannahs and woodlands are among most degraded and threatened ecosystems (MacFarlane *et al.,* 2015). Degradation represents the temporary or permanent reduction in density, structure, species composition or productivity of vegetation cover (Malimbwi *et al.,* 2010). It occurs when woodlands are not managed sustainably or controlled through appropriate environmental regulatory policies and frameworks (Bodart *et al.,* 2013; Lemenih *et al.,* 2014). Woodland degradation can have different drivers: overuse of resources, climatic conditions such as increased drought frequency, urbanization and agricultural expansion (Rocheleau *et al.,* 1995; Le Polain de Waroux & Lambin, 2012).

In Africa, woodland degradation results from grazing, human-initiated fires for land clearing for agriculture, and vegetation removal for fuelwood, charcoal and building material (Malimbwi & Zahabu 2008; Ouedraogo *et al.,* 2010). These activities can affect the structure and productivity of woodland areas, e.g. by altering the soil properties (Yimer & Abdelkadir, 2010; Bruum *et al.,* 2015; Mohawesh *et al.,* 2015) and the hydrological regimes (Montenegro & Ragab, 2010; Yu *et al.,* 2015). The way in which charcoal production links to deforestation and degradation has been debated (Chidumayo & Gumbo, 2013; Coomes & Miltner, 2016). Charcoal can lead to the transformation of woodland to bush, and bush to scrub, over very large areas (Arnold & Persson, 2003). Charcoal making can occur when the land is being cleared for agriculture and thus may not be the main driver of degradation (Zulu & Richardson, 2013). In some cases however, charcoal production can be linked directly to woodland degradation (Chidumayo & Gumbo, 2013). Despite the unknown extent, woodland degradation due to charcoal production has been reported in Kenya (Kirubi *et al.,* 2000; KFS, 2013).

The ongoing debate on the role and extent of fuelwood driven degradation in woodlands (Butz, 2013) indicates that woodland degradation in arid and semi-arid areas is not sufficiently investigated. This is partly because woodlands are more difficult to monitor with traditional forestry tools and remote sensing as compared to other forest types. Woodland degradation is also more subtle than clear-cutting a forest making it difficult to detect its occurrence and extent. Moreover, woodlands are viewed as less commercially important (Grainger, 1999).

To obtain a comprehensive understanding of woodland degradation requires a combination of change detection by remote sensing, physical field observations and sociological data. The objective of this paper is to enhance the understanding of woodland degradation and to assess the role of charcoal making in woodland degradation for a study area in Kitui, Kenya using such a portfolio of methods. The novelty of this paper lies in linking woodland degradation and perceived change to livelihoods. We explicitly include perceptions by local inhabitants as this can provide information for planning (Vila Subirós *et al.,* 2015) and gain support for restorative work (Davies *et al.,* 2010) and better management of land cover change. We have chosen the woodlands of Kitui, Kenya as these have been undergoing rapid socio-economic, climatic and environmental change (Zaal & Oostendorp, 2002; Lasage *et al.,* 2008).

Within this context, the specific aims of this study are to: (i) investigate the spatial patterns and trends of local woodland degradation and cover change; (ii) link woodland degradation with the perception of local people on the land cover/use change and the effect on their well-being; and (iii) explore the role of charcoal in woodland degradation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area is located in Kitui county in Kenya about 150 km east of Nairobi (38^0-23', 38^0-37' E and 1^0-46', 1^0-58' S) and covers an area of 442 km^2 (Figure 1). The altitude is about 550 m above sea level. The average yearly rainfall for Kitui is around 1000 mm with large local differences. The rainfall pattern is bimodal consisting of "long rains" between March and May, while the "short rains" season occurs between October and December (Lasage *et al.,* 2008). The vegetation of the study area is described as Somalia-Masai Acacia-Commiphora deciduos bushland and thicket within the Somalia-Masai ecoregion (Brink & Eva, 2011) dominated by *Commiphora spp*, *Acacia spp* and *Adansonia digitata* L.

The study area borders Tsavo East National Park to the South East and Kitui South Game Reserve to the East. Mutha market is the largest settlement in the area. The land in the study area is privately owned through family lineages although formal titling has not been done. Shifting cultivation is practised within the family land holdings, which are generally a few kilometres apart. The population of the area is 10,154 people in 1865 households (KNBS, 2010) with an average density of 27 persons/km^2 (KCDP, 2013). We selected the study site

as it is located deep inside in the charcoal producing area of Kitui County. Furthermore, it is located at the intersection of two major roads connecting it to the Nairobi and Mombasa highway, where the charcoal is mostly transported to. Charcoal making was introduced in the study area in 1998 by evictees from Chyulu hills in the neighbouring Makueni County about 120 km south-west of the study area, which was gazetted as a national park in 1995 (Muriuki *et al.,* 2011).

Different methods were combined in order to analyse woodland degradation: land cover change detection based on the interpretation of satellite imagery, field-based charcoal site identification, and interviews with local landowners. Figure 2 summarizes the data sources and processes followed to analyse the Kitui woodlands.

Landsat image analysis

We chose Landsat satellite images because they enabled us to work on a local scale of 30 m resolution and cover the desired time. Images for the years 1986 (26th August), 1999 (25th October), 2005 (22nd august) and 2010 (1st June) were used (USGS, 2015). For 2014, we used a cloud free mosaic from Hansen *et al.,* (2013). The satellite images were chosen based on time of the year, their clarity and major socio-economic changes in the area (e.g. 1998 Chyulu hills eviction). Images for the dry months were chosen to minimize the chances of error in classification due to seasonal differences in vegetation. The satellite images were pre-processed using ENVI 5.1 and ERDAS Imagine 9.1 software. We masked clouds - cloud cover however never exceeded 5% of the study area and was limited to the southeast section.

The unsupervised ISODATA (Iterative Self-Organizing Data Analysis) algorithm was used to cluster image areas with common reflectance. We performed the classification in ERDAS with the convergence value at 0.95 and 20 maximum iterations, resulting in 20 clusters. These were later reclassified to 3 predetermined classes based on the knowledge of the area and visual examination of colour composites of raw satellite images (Stringer & Harris, 2014). The three classes were woodland, transitional woodlands and farmlands/open areas (Figure 3). It was not possible to map and analyse changes in the area occupied by settlements. This was due to the spatial resolution of Landsat images and the characteristics of mostly informal, small settlements, with a low share of sealed surfaces. The accuracy assessment was performed using a stratified random sample of 200 units, which were visually interpreted from the satellite images. We calculated the overall, user's and producer's

accuracy for each classified image for the 3 land cover classes (Congalton, 1991; Foody *et al.,* 2002).

A post-classification change detection was applied to analyse woodland degradation through space and time. The images from the different time periods were compared to create a change image map (Macleod & Congalton, 1998). This method has the advantage that various images are classified separately hence reducing the challenges associated with radiometric calibration (Singh, 1989, Lillesand *et al.,* 2004).

Charcoal and woodland degradation

To further assess the impact of charcoal on woodland degradation, 5 parallel transects were aligned in a West-East direction. The transects, which were 16 km in length and 1 km apart, started from the Kivandeni- Mutha Market main road going towards the Kitui South Game Reserve boundary. They represent a gradient of accessibility as we assumed that accessibility might affect the degree of charcoal extraction (Figure 1). Rectangular plots (50 by 20 m) of 0.1 ha were sampled every kilometre along the transects, giving a total of 75 plots. The 50 by 20 m plot size has been widely used for assessments of shrub lands, tropical savannahs and woodlands (Luoga *et al.,* 2002; Kalema *et al.,* 2015). For every sampling plot, the GPS coordinates were captured and the number of charcoal kilns were counted. A kiln is an insulated chamber for wood carbonization made by covering a wood pile with herbaceous material and soil (Chidumayo & Gumbo, 2013, see Figure 3b). Furthermore, the number of tree stumps and species used for charcoal making within the plot were counted and identified with the assistance of local guides (Figure 3c). This plot level data was used as a proxy for the contribution of charcoal towards woodland degradation. The plot data was analysed using both inferential and descriptive statistics, including Pearson's correlation coefficient. We also compared the reported kiln density with the land cover at the plot. As charcoal making involves felling of prefered tree species, a single kiln usually has a "catchment" distance of several metres depending on the density of the tree species. To account for this, we extracted the land cover at the sampling plot locations within a 50 meter buffer from the centre of the plot.

Comparison of woodland loss between remote sensing and community trends

In order to compare community knowledge and perceptions with remote sensing results, a total of 117 households were interviewed using a semi-structured questionaire. The

interviews were conducted between May and July 2015 by the lead author and four assistants whose mother tongue is the local language (*Kamba*). The assistants were trained on using GPS equipment and questionaire administration. The questionaire was also pre-tested to ensure appropriate wording and meaning of the questions. Systematic sampling of households was applied using 8 transects evenly spread across the study area. The households interviewed were systematically picked at a distance of 1 km from each other along the transect. If no household was present after 1 km, the nearest household was picked. This method was adopted as there was no reliable settlement data or maps of the area available.

We targeted household heads (both male and female) who have lived in the area for at least 20 years to receive an accurate account of the past land use activities in the study area. The respondents were asked to explain the land cover changes within their holdings for the last 20 years, by indicating the estimated size of woodland and farmland within the land holding for 5 year time intervals. They were also requested to give their views on the impact of charcoal making on the land cover. Finally, the interviewees were asked to rate the effect of land cover change on their immediate environment, wealth and social relations on a Likert scale ranging from 1 (no change) to 6 (extreme change), as well as their motivation for the values given. In-depth semi-structured interviews have successfully been used to study stakeholder perceptions in land use and management (Teshome *et al.*, 2014; Vila Subirós *et al.,* 2015). Finally, personal observations of land cover/use types and sources of livehoods were recorded to supplement the satellite, plot and community-based information.

RESULTS

Landsat image analysis and accuracy assessment

The results of the Landsat image analysis show the conversion from woodland and transitional woodland to open areas (Figure 4). The conversions from woodlands to transitional woodlands cannot always be related to human activity. For example, in several areas we observed swaps between woodlands and transitional woodlands, that were a consequence of seasonality and different levels of dryness in the area.The woodland area has been gradually declining in the study area from 1986 to 2014, from a total of 31084 ha in 1986 to 23930 ha in 2014 (Table I). The biggest change to the woodland land cover occurred between 2005 and 2014. Transitional woodland also increased but at a more moderate pace, from 9966 ha to 11572 ha. Although some changes to this class can be associated to land

cover change, often these changes are as a result of seasonality of woodlands. This is also shown by the fluctuation of the area covered by transitional woodland and the low classification accuracy of this land cover class. Overall, the results show an increase in farmland and transitional woodland areas at the expense of woodlands. There are few noticeable changes within the Kitui South Game reserve.

The user's and producer's accuracy for woodland range from 84-98% (see Table II). The transition class has the lowest user's accuracy of 69% and 75% for the year 2010 and 2014 respectively. This is due to the difficulty to map this particular land cover class.

Charcoal and woodland degradation

Evidence of charcoal making was recorded in 38 of the 75 sample plots. The number of kilns ranged from 1 to 6 (10-60 kilns/ha) per plot and kilns had an average area of 25 m^2. The number of trees harvested per plot ranged from 1 to 16 (10-160 stems/ha) with diameters ranging from 9.2 to 79 cm (recorded 30 cm off the ground). Almost complete clear fell of trees is done on plots with high density of prefered species and little or no extraction is observed on plots with low prefered tree species density. The species harvested for charcoal making include *Strychnos spinosa* Lam.*, Acacia nilotica* L. Willd., *Cassia abbrevieta* Oliv. and *Acacia tortilis* Forsk. Harvesting is normally done by felling the tree at approximately half a meter off the ground using an axe. In some instances charcoal makers dig up the stumps of the prefered charcoal species (Figure 3c). The harvesting intensity, the alignment of extraction roads and cutting of shrubs to use on kiln construction all contribute to woodland degradation. Thirty-one plots (81.5%) with charcoal kilns were found in the area where woodland or transitional woodlands were the dominant land cover (>51%). Only 5 plots were found in the area classifed as farms/open areas thus indicating the importance of transitional woodlands and woodland land cover types as a source of charcoal (Figure 5).

The land cover type and the number of kilns on each plot was examined in relation to the distance of each plot from the main road. We found a weak relationship between the number of kilns and the distance from the main road (r = -0.178, p = 0.284) and a positive relationship between woodland cover and the distance from the main road (r = 0.672, p<0.001).

Household characteristics and woodland cover loss

Out of the 117 respondents, 57 were female and 60 were male. Their average age was 45 years and 84% have only had basic education. Eighty-eight percent of the respondents ranked agriculture as their main source of livelihood while 7% and 6% respectively ranked livestock and charcoal making as their main source of livelihood. The average farm holding was 20 ha, while the average household size was seven people.

The respondents reported a downward trend of the woodland cover over time. Between 1995 and 2015 the woodland cover was lost at rates of 4.8%, 5.6%, 10.8% and 17.2% for every five years respectively. The highest rates of woodland cover loss were reported for the years 2005-2015 when the woodland area dropped from 2093 ha to 1545 ha. Most of this area was converted to farmland/open areas (Table S1).

Woodland area loss: Comparison between remote sensing and community interviews

We made a comparison of the woodland loss rates calculated from the interviews and the remote sensing analysis (Figure 6). For both analysis we set the initial state of the woodland to 100% and computed the percentage change based on each data type. The calculated rates of woodland reduction as derived from the interviews are consistently higher than those from the satellite imagery. In spite of this difference, the trends are highly correlated (r = -0.96, p= 0.03).

The interviews indicate that 74% of the households have made charcoal for commercial purposes at one point in time and the number currently involved in charcoal production is 66% of the sampled households. The average production per household is 22 bags per month (Figure 7). The average weight of a charcoal bag is 35 Kg (KFS, 2013) and is currently sold at Ksh 450 (4.5 Euro).

Community perceptions on the consequences of charcoal making

The perceptions on how charcoal making has contributed to observed woodland degradation and landscape changes within the last two decades vary (Figure 8a). 38% of the respondents believe that charcoal making has led to a reduction in rainfall through the massive felling of trees. Other reported associations with charcoal making are woodland area reduction (19%), increased soil erosion (12%) and increase in dust and wind speed (8%)

The perceived consequences of charcoal making and woodland degradation on community wellbeing are reported on a Likert scale ranging from no change (1) to extreme change (6) in Figure 8b. Less than 25% of the respondents believe that woodland degradation has had no impact on their immediate environment, wealth and social relations between 2010-2015, while at least 70% of the respondents ranked the effect as moderate to extreme change for the last 10 years. The reported aspects of environmental change mentioned overlap with the reported consequences of charcoal production, including increase in temperature, dust whirls and migration of bees. As a 45-year old man from Kendoo village explained: *"Bees have migrated. I have six bee hives out of which only one is occupied. The smoke from the kilns has driven the bees away"*.

Reduction in harvest volume of maize and green grams (mungbeans) and the number of livestock are key reasons why respondents think that their wealth has gone down considerably. A 60-year old man reported that: *"I had 30 herd of cattle but now I have none. I used to harvest a lot of honey but now the bees are nowhere. I used to harvest 15 bags of maize but now I harvest 1 bag"*. Perceived reduction in rainfall amounts and predictabilty has severely affected agricultural activities and as a consequence communal activities such as voluntary farmer self-help groups (*mwethya*) have since stopped. Exchange of agriculture-based gifts such as food items and livestock has also reduced. Overall, individuals have focused their time on daily survival efforts through non-farm activities such as charcoal making, small businesses and local employment. This shift in social relations is best captured through the sentiments of a 60-year old man from Ithango village: *"we no longer share gifts, the mwethya no longer function and we no longer visit each other. We wake up early in the morning to search for food and return home late to sleep"*.

DISCUSSION

Land cover change from satelite imagery

Although the use of satellite imagery to derive information on woodland degradation has its drawbacks, an 86 % overal accuracy of our land cover classification indicates a sufficient level of accuracy to show real changes (Treitz & Rogan, 2004). Our accuracies are comparable to other studies in the region e.g in Ugandan woodlands (Mwavu & Witkowski, 2008). Nevertheless, post-classification maps are subject to uncertainty, where the errors of

individual maps propagate when different maps are compared to analyze land cover change (Olofsson *et al.,* 2013). This can impact the validity of the observed locations and spatial pattern of woodland cover change. Additional confidence in the results is gained as the spatial pattern of woodland change follows a logical pattern near or adjacent to roads and settlements, and is not randomly scattered across the landscape. Moreover, the change trends correspond well with the field measurements and interview results which each have their own, different uncertainties. By comparing different ways of measuring woodland change and degradation through a triangulation of evidence, we overcame the limitations of each individual approach.

The decrease of woodlands area and an increase in farmlands in the study area are indicative for the rapidly changing land use regime in the area attributable to an increase in farmlands and shifting cultivation. This increase is partly due to population increase which rose from 3 persons/km^2 in 1989 (CBS, 1994) to 11 persons/km^2 in 1999 (KNBS, 2010) and drought adaptation mechanisms of the local population. Households have responded to the decreased rainfall amounts by clearing increasingly bigger farmlands hoping to compensate for lowered output per unit area. Our field observations point to shifting cultivation as one of the causes of woodland loss. Farmers use a particular portion of the farm holding for a period of time and clear a new woodland area for farming once the previous one is exhausted. The effects of shifting cultivation on reducing woodland cover have been reported in other African woodlands (Luoga *et al.,* 2005; Kalema *et al.,* 2015).

Conversion of woodlands to farmlands/open areas can have adverse effects on soils. Conversion of woodland to other land use has been noted to reduce soil organic carbon by 22-30% and total nitrogen by 19% for a study in Ethiopia (Yimer & Abdelkadir, 2010). An adequate amount of soil organic matter is considered essential for sustainable agriculture and its reduction decreases crop productivity (Yimer *et al.,* 2007). Loss of vegetation cover can lead to the formation of soil sealing that increases the risk of runoff and soil erosion. Mohammad & Mohammad (2010) reported high soil loss in cultivated fields as compared to land under woody vegetation, an observation they attributed to the breakdown of soil aggregate stability, loss of vegetative cover and exposure of the soil particles to direct impact of rain drops.

Charcoal as a driver of land degradation

Whereas population growth and the demand for cropland were the main drivers until 1999, charcoal burning became a significant driver of woodland degradation afterwards as evidenced by the large proportion of households who engage in charcoal production, the large number of kilns counted in woodland cover area and evidence of trees harvested for charcoal making. This is supported both by our field observations and interviews. It is not possible to attribute the drivers of woodland degradation directly from remote sensing data. The spatial pattern and distribution of woodland degradation derived from the remote sensing images after 1999 suggests charcoal burning among the major factors of degradation. Patches of woodland degradation after 1999 are smaller as compared to the ones between 1986 and 1999. The larger patches are likely the result of land clearing for agriculture, while the smaller ones are more characteristic for charcoal production. Nevertheless, it is difficult to point out one single driver, as often areas that had been cleared for charcoal production are afterwards used for cropland.

The degree of degradation is highly place-specific and depends on the densitity of prefered charcoal making species. Almost all the charcoal kilns were observed in woodland cover areas suggesting that charcoal making is an activity not directly associated with land clearing for agriculture. The role of charcoal making in land degradation has also been reported elsewhere (Luoga *et al.,* 2002; Luoga *et al.,* 2005; Chidumayo & Gumbo, 2013). As charcoal makers construct the kilns near the tree cutting site, kilns further contribute to degradation as they remain bare for a long time (Dons *et al.,* 2015). Removal of vegetation cover and subsequent burning around charcoal kilns can result in soil fertility reduction. This can occur in numerous ways: soil erosion with nutrient loss on bare grounds, reduction of soil organic matter through volatilization, and loss of soil microbial biomass due to increased decay and loss of heat-sensitive microbes (Certini, 2005; Zhang *et al.,* 2015).

The effect of woodland degradation is further compounded by the presence of uncontrolled extraction roads which involves clearing of vegetation all the way to the kiln site. Furthermore, selective harvesting of prefered charcoal species may completely wipe away some species, changing the structure of the woodland and its ability for self regeneration (Butz, 2013). Many woodland and dryland species regenerate through coppicing (Sawadogo *et al.,* 2002; McLaren & McDonald, 2003) and therefore digging up their stumps severely compromises this ability (Malimbwi & Zahabu, 2008).

It is difficult to relate woodland degradation to charcoal even with using relatively high resolution Landsat images, as charcoal making is a phenomena occuring at sub pixel size scale. To overcome this challenge, very high resolution satellite imagery such as Quickbird and Ikonos are being used to analyse charcoal related degradation (Oduori *et al.,* 2011; Dons et al., 2015).

Extended droughts and low farm productivity are cited as some of the factors enhancing charcoal making in the savannah areas and Kitui county in particular (PISCES, 2010). With no other source of livelihood, poverty striken residents turn to charcoal making for subsistence and commercial purposes, as shown by other examples in Africa (Malimbwi & Zahabu, 2008; Kalema *et al.,* 2015). People are attracted to charcoal production as it requires neither formal education nor large capital investment while at the same time charcoal is a cash product with a large market ready to absorb the entire production (Malimbwi & Zahabu, 2008).

The weak relationship between kilns and distance from the main road contrasts sharply with what is normally expected in wood resource extraction (Albers & Robinson, 2013). Studies in South Africa (Pote *et al.,* 2006) have shown that the amount of harvesting decreases with distance from settlements as the most favoured species are removed first from accessible areas. The pattern in our study can be attributed to the nature of land ownership in the study area, local vegetation variations and the commercial aspect of charcoal making. Land is individually owned and thus owners can excert some degree of control on how trees can be used. The commercial nature of charcoal extraction, fuelled by demand for charcoal in cities such as Nairobi and Mombasa, has encouraged middlemen to venture deep into the woodlands, leading to charcoal production all over the woodlands. Charcoal can be sourced from long distances as long as the price increases result in marginal gain (Ahrends *et al.,* 2010)

Comparison of woodland loss between remote sensing and community interviews

The high degree of similarity of woodland cover trends between remote sensing and from interviews indicates that the community is well aware of their sorroundings and the land use changes. Combining interviews and remote sensing provides more reliable and comprehensive data on land use and land cover as various aspects of land use and cover change, their causes and effects can be clarified and verified. Also several other studies have successfully compared satelite derived land cover changes with interview data from multiple

stakeholders ranging from farmers, communities businesses and institutions. In these studies the interviews focussed on identifying the drivers of change (Malek *et al.,* 2014, Ariti *et al.,* 2015), the impact of changes (Garedew *et al.,* 2009) or the stakeholder perception of (Yiran *et al.,* 2012; Ariti *et al.,* 2015). The argument is that whereas remote sensing can provide quantitative data on the magnitude of land cover change, it is the stakeholders who can inform on the driving forces of change and how the changes affect their lives. However, interviews are time consuming, may be subjective and for periods further back in time it is more difficult to gather reliable results (Garedew *et al.,* 2009). As stakeholders base their decisions on their perception of the drivers and impacts of land use and land cover change (Ariti *et al.,* 2015), there is the need to link the observed changes with the driving forces to inform effective and sustainable land use planning (Yiran *et al.,* 2012). Our study provides an example of the analysis of woodland degradation at a local level using a portfolio of methods complemeting each other and thus enabling a compherensive understanding of woodland degradation and the role of charcoal production in specific.

In order to reduce woodland degradation, incentives for landowners to maintain woodland areas should be combined by disseminating technical information and alternative management options, such as beekeeping. This will reduce woodland degradation and dependence on charcoal for sustenance. Extending forestry services to tree planting for charcoal and wood production could reduce the pressure on existing woodlands, as examples of local NGOs (Katulu) have already shown. Moreover, encouraging the use of alternative charcoal production technologies, such as the casamance charcoal kiln and briquetting, can increase the efficiency of charcoal production by reducing the number of trees necessary to produce a given volume of charcoal hence slowing down land cover change (Mekuria *et al.,* 2012). Finally, pressures on woodland can be reduced by increasing agricultural productivity, for instance through the introduction of drought tolerant crops, manure for fertilization, seasonal forecasts and promotion of soil and water conservation techniques (Recha *et al*., 2014).

CONCLUSIONS

Landsat imagery analysis, field transects and household interviews provide an in-depth understanding on woodland cover change and degradation. Our study has shown that woodlands are being converted to farmlands and are degraded mainly through charcoal making as result of a host of physical and socioeconomic factors. The community is well aware of the woodland degradation and land cover change and relate degradation of the land cover to their perceived worsening livelihoods. To reduce woodland cover loss our results indicate that there is a need for appropriate policy and technological measures to reduce woodland cover change and degradation. Such measures should target improving the productivity of agriculture to reduce shifting cultivation practice, adaptation to climate change and reducing dependance on charcoal for sustenance in order to address the combined drivers of woodland degradation and loss.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Supporting information

Table S1. Woodland cover loss for the years 1995-2015 as determined from community interviews

REFERENCES

Ahrends A, Burgess ND, Milledge SAH, Bulling MT, Fisher B, Smart JC. 2010. Predictable waves of sequential forest degradation and biodiversity loss spreading from an African city. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**: 14556–14561. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.09144711

Albers HJ, Robinson EJZ. 2013. A review of the spatial economics of non-timber forest product extraction: Implications for policy. *Ecological Economics* **92**: 87–95. DOI:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2012.01.021

Ariti ATB, van Vliet J, Verburg PH. 2015. Land-use and land-cover changes in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia: Assessment of perception and adaptation of stakeholders. *Applied Geography* **65**: 28–37. DOI: 10.1016/j.apgeog.2015.10.002

Arnold M, Persson R. 2003. Reassessing the fuelwood situation in developing countries. *International Forestry Review* **5**:379–383. DOI: 10.1505/IFOR.5.4.379.22660

Belsky AJ, Amundson RG, Duxbury JM, Riha SJ, Ali AR, Mwonga SM.1989. The effects of trees on their physical, chemical and biological environments in a semi-arid savanna in Kenya. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **26**: 1005-1024. DOI:10.2307/2403708.

Bodart C, Andreas BB, Francoi D, Andrea L, Philippe M. 2013. Continental estimates of forest cover and forest cover changes in the dry ecosystems of Africa between 1990 and 2000. *Journal of Biogeography* **40**: 1036–1047. DOI: 10.1111/jbi.12084

Brink AB, Eva HD. 2011. The potential use of high-resolution Landsat satellite data for detecting land-cover change in the Greater Horn of Africa. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* **32**: 5981–5995. DOI: 10.1080/01431161.2010.499382

Bruun TB, Elberling B, Neergaard A, Magid J. 2015. Organic carbon dynamics in different soil types after conversion of forest to agriculture. *Land Degradation & Development* **26**: 272-283. DOI:10.1002/ldr.2205

Butz RJ. 2013. Changing land management: A case study of charcoal production among a group of pastoral women in northern Tanzania. *Energy for Sustainable Development* **17**:138–145. DOI: 10.1080/01431161.2010.499382

Campbell BM, Costanza R, Belt M Van Den. 2000. Special section: Land use options in dry tropical woodland ecosystems in Zimbabwe : Introduction, overview and synthesis. *Ecological Economics* **33**: 341–351.

CBS (Central Bureau of statistics) 1994. Kenya Population census 1989. Ministry of Planning and National Development. Volume 1.

Certini G. 2005. Effects of fire on properties of forest soils: a review. *Oecologia* **143**: 1–10 DOI: 10.1007/s00442-004-1788-8

Chidumayo EN, Gumbo DJ. 2013. The environmental impacts of charcoal production in tropical ecosystems of the world: A synthesis. *Energy for Sustainable Development* **17**: 86–94. DOI: 10.1016/j.esd.2012.07.004

Congalton RG. 1991. A review of assessing the accuracy of classifications of remotely sensed data. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **37**: 35–46. DOI: 10.1016/0034-4257(91)90048-B

Coomes OT, Miltner BC. 2016. Indigenous Charcoal and Biochar Production: Potential for Soil Improvement under Shifting Cultivation Systems. *Land Degradation & Development*. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2500

Davies GM, Pollard L, Mwenda MD. 2010. Perceptions of Land-Degradation, Forest Restoration and Fire Management: A Case Study from Malawi. *Land Degradation & Development* **21**: 546-556. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.995

Dons K, Smith-Hall C, Meilby H, Fensholt R. 2015. Operationalizing measurement of forest degradation: Identification and quantification of charcoal production in tropical dry forests using very high resolution satellite imagery. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation* **39**:18–27. DOI: 10.1016/j.jag.2015.02.001

Erkossa T, Wudneh A, Desalegn B, Taye G. 2015. Linking soil erosion to on-site financial cost: Lessons from watersheds in the Blue Nile basin. *Solid Earth*: **6**. 765-774. DOI: 10.5194/se-6-765-2015

Foody GM, Giles MF, Foody GM. 2002. Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **80**: 185–201. DOI: 10.1016/s0034-4257(01)00295-4

Garedew E, Sandewall M, Soderberg U, Campbell BM. 2009. Land-use and land-cover dynamics in the central rift valley of Ethiopia. *Environmental management* **44**: 683–94. 10.1007/s00267-009-9355-z

Grainger A, 1999. Constraints on modelling the deforestation and degradation of tropical open woodlands. *Global Ecology & Biogeography* **8**: 179–190.

Hansen MC, Potapov P, Moore R, Hancher M, Turubanova SA, Tyukavina A, Thau D, Stehman SV, Goetz SJ, Loveland TR, Kommareddy A, Egorov E, Chini L, Justice CO, Townsend JRG. 2013. High-Resolution Global Maps o. *Science* **342**: 850–853. DOI: 10.1126/science.1244693

Kalema VN, Witkowski ETF, Erasmus BFN, Mwavu EN. 2015. The Impacts of Changes in Land Use on Woodlands in an Equatorial African Savanna. *Land Degradation & Development* **26**: 632-641. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2279

KCDP (Kitui County Development Profile). 2013. Ministry of Devolution and Planning. Government Printer Nairobi, Kenya.

Keesstra SD. 2007. Impact of natural reforestation on floodplain sedimentation in the Dragonja basin, SW Slovenia. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **32**: 49-65. DOI: 10.1002/esp.1360

Keesstra SD, van Dam O, Verstraeten G, van Huissteden J. 2009. Changing sediment dynamics due to natural reforestation in the Dragonja catchment, SW Slovenia. *Catena* **78**: 60-71. DOI: 10.1016/j.catena.2009.02.021

KFS (Kenya Forest Service). 2013. Analysis of the charcoal value chain in Kenya. Final Report. August 2013.

Kirubi C, Wamicha WN, Laichena JK. 2000. The effects of woodfuel consumption in the ASAL areas of Kenya: the case of Marsabit forest. *African Journal of Ecology* **38**: 47–52. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2028.2000.00208.x

KNBS (Kenya National Bureau of Statistics).2010. The 2009 Kenya Population and housing Census. Volume 1A. Nairobi

Lasage R, Aerts J, Mutiso GCM, de Vries A. 2008. Potential for community based adaptation to droughts: Sand dams in Kitui, Kenya. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* **33**: 67–73. 10.1016/j.pce.2007.04.009

le Polain de Waroux Y, Lambin EF. 2012. Monitoring degradation in arid and semi-arid forests and woodlands: The case of the argan woodlands (Morocco). *Applied Geography* **32**: 777–786. DOI: 10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.08.005

Lemenih M, Kassa H, Kassie GT, Abebaw D, Teka, W. 2014. Resettlement and woodland management problems and options: A case study from North-Western Ethiopia. *Land Degradation & Development* **25**: 305-318. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2136

Lillesand TM, Keifer RW, Chipman JW. 2004. Remote sensing and image interpretation. John Wiley & Sons. 5th Ed.

Luoga EJ, Witkowski ETF, Balkwill K. 2002. Harvested and standing wood stocks in protected and communal miombo woodlands of eastern Tanzania. *Forest Ecology and Management* **164**: 15–30. DOI: 10.1016/S0378-1127(01)00604-1

Luoga EJ, Witkowski, ETF, Balkwill K. 2005. Land Cover and Use Changes in Relation to the Institutional Framework and Tenure of Land and Resources in Eastern Tanzania Miombo Woodlands. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* **7**:71–93. DOI: 10.1007/s10668-003-4013-8

MacFarlane DW, Kinzer AT, Banks JE. 2015. Coupled human-natural regeneration of indigenous coastal dry forest in Kenya. *Forest Ecology and Management* **354**: 149–159. DOI:10.1016/j.foreco.2015.06.026

Macleod RD, Congalton RG. 1998. A Quantitative Comparison of Change-Detection Algorithms for Monitoring Eelgrass from Remotely Sensed Data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* **64**: 207-216.

Malek Ž, Scolobig A, Schröter D. 2014. Understanding land cover changes in the Italian Alps and Romanian Carpathians combining remote sensing and stakeholder interviews. *Land* **3**: 52–73. DOI: 10.3390/land3010052

Malimbwi RE, Zahabu EM. 2008. Woodlands and the charcoal trade : The case of Dar es Salaam City. Finnish Forest Research Institute Working Paper **98**: 93–114.

Malimbwi R, Chidumayo E, Zahabu E, Kingazi S, Misana S, Luoga E, Nduwamungu, J. 2010. Woodfuel. In Chidumayo EN, Gumbo DJ. (Eds). *The Dry Forests and Woodlands of Africa*. Managing for Products and Services. Earthscan London.155-178

McLaren K, McDonald M. 2003. Coppice regrowth in a disturbed tropical dry limestone forest in Jamaica. *Forest Ecology and Management* **180**: 99–111. DOI:10.1016/S0378-1127(02)00606-0

Mekuria W, Oloth S, Chu TH, Andrew N. 2012. Economic contribution and the potential use of wood charcoal for soil restoration: A case study of village-based charcoal production in Central Laos. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology* **19**: 415–425. DOI: 10.1080/13504509.2012.686070

Mohammad AG, Mohammad AA. 2010. The impact of vegetative cover type on runoff and soil erosion under different land uses. *Catena* **81**: 97–103. DOI:10.1016/j.catena.2010.01.008

Mohawesh Y, Taimeh A, Ziadat F. 2015. Effects of land use changes and soil conservation intervention on soil properties as indicators for land degradation under a Mediterranean climate. *Solid Earth* **6**:857-868. DOI: 10. 5194/se-6-857-2015

Montenegro A, Ragab R. 2010. Hydrological response of a Brazilian semi-arid catchment to different land use and climate change scenarios: a modelling study. *Hydrological Processes* **24**: 2705-2723. DOI: 10.1002/hyp.7825

Muriuki G, Seabrook l, McAlpine C, Jacobson C, Price B, Baxter G. 2011. Land cover change under unplanned human settlements: A study of the Chyulu Hills squatters, Kenya. *Landscape and Urban Planning* **99**: 154–165. DOI: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2010.10.002

Mwavu EN, Witkowski ETF. 2008. Land -Use and cover changes (1988-2002) around Budongo Forest Reserve: Implications for Forest and Woodland sustainability. *Land Degradation & Development* **19**: 606-622. DOI: 10.1002/ldr

Ni J, Luo DH, Xia J, Zhang ZH, Hu G. 2015. Vegetation in karst terrain of southwestern China allocates more biomass to roots. *Solid Earth* **6**: 799-810. DOI: 10.5194/se-6-799-2015

Oduori S.M, Rembold F, Abdulle OH, Vargas R. 2011. Assessment of charcoal driven deforestation rates in a fragile rangeland environment in North Eastern Somalia using very high resolution imagery. *Journal of Arid Environments* **75**: 1173–1181. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2011.05.003

Olofsson P, Foody GM, Stehman SV, Woodcock CE. 2013. Making better use of accuracy data in land change studies: Estimating accuracy and area and quantifying uncertainty using stratified estimation. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **129**: 122–131. DOI: 10.1016/j.rse.2012.10.031

Ouedraogo M, Tigabu M, Savadogo P, Compaore H, Ode C, Ouadba M. 2010. Land cover change and its relation with population dynamics in Burkina Faso, West Africa. *Land Degradation & Development* **21**: 453–462.

PISCES (Policy Innovation Systems for Clean Energy Security). 2010. Promoting Sustainable Charcoal Production and Marketing in Kenya : A Comparative Analysis through Participatory Market Mapping. March 2010. Nairobi

Pote J, Shackleton C, Cocks M, Lubke R. 2006. Fuelwood harvesting and selection in Valley Thicket, South Africa. *Journal of Arid Environments* **67**: 270–287. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2006.02.011

Recha CW, Mukopi MN, Otieno JO. 2014. Socio-Economic Determinants of Adoption of Rainwater Harvesting and Conservation Techniques in Semi-Arid Tharaka Sub-County, Kenya. *Land Degradation & Development* **26**: 765–773. DOI: 1002/ldr.2326

Ribeiro NS, Matos CN, Moura IR, Washington-Allen RA, Ribeiro AI. 2013. Monitoring vegetation dynamics and carbon stock density in miombo woodlands. *Carbon balance and management* **8**: 11. DOI: 10.1186/1750-0680-8-11

Rocheleau DE, Steinberg PE, Benjamin PA. 1995. Environment , Development , Crisis , and Crusade : Ukambani, Kenya, 1890-1990. *World Development* **23**: 1037–1051.

Sawadogo L, Nygard R, Pallo F. 2002. Effects of livestock and prescribed fire on coppice growth after selective cutting of Sudanian savannah in Burkina Faso.*Ann.For.Sci*. **59**: 185-195. DOI: 10.1051/forest:2002005

Singh A. 1989. Review Article Digital change detection techniques using remotely-sensed data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* **10**: 989–1003. DOI:10.1080/01431168908903939

Stringer LC, Harris A. 2014. Land Degradation in Dolj County, Southern Romania: Environmental Changes, Impacts and Responses. *Land Degradation & Development* **28**: 17–28. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2260

Teshome A, Graaff J, Ritsema C, Kassie, M. 2014. Farmers' perceptions about influence of land quality, land fragmentation and tenure systems on sustainable land management in the North western Ethiopian highlands. *Land Degradation & Development* **25:** DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2298

Treitz P, Rogan J. 2004. Remote sensing for mapping and monitoring land-cover and land-use change-an introduction. *Progress in Planning* **61**: 269–279. DOI: 10.1016/S0305-9006(03)00064-3

US Geological Survey (USGS). Earth Explorer. Available online: http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/

Vila Subirós J, Rodríguez-Carrera R, Varga D, Ribas A, Úbeda X, Asperó F, Llausàs A, Outeiro L. 2015. Stakeholder perception of landscape changes in the Mediterranean mountains of the north-eastern Iberian peninsula. Land *Degradation & Development.* DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2337

Yimer F, Abdelkadir A. 2010. Soil property changes following conversion of acacia woodland into grazing and farmlands in the Rift Valley area of Ethiopia. *Land Degradation & Development* **22**: 425-431. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1022

Yimer F, Ledin S. Abdelkadir A. 2007. Changes in soil organic carbon and total nitrogen contents in three adjacent land use types in the Bale Mountains, south-eastern highlands of Ethiopia. Forest Ecology and Management **242**: 337–342. DOI:10.1016/j.foreco.2007.01.087

Yiran GB, Kusimi JM, Kufogbe SK. 2012. A synthesis of remote sensing and local knowledge approaches in land degradation assessment in the Bawku East District, Ghana. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation* **14**: 204–213. DOI: 10.1016/j.jag.2011.09.016

Yu Y, Wei W, Chen LD, Jia FY, Yang L, Zhang HD, Feng TJ. 2015. Responses of vertical soil moisture to rainfall pulses and land uses in a typical loess hilly area, China. *Solid Earth* **6:** 595-608. DOI: 10. 5194/se-6-595-2015

Zaal F, Oostendorp RH. 2002. Explaining a miracle: Intensification and the transition towards sustainable small-scale agriculture in dryland Machakos and Kitui Districts, Kenya. *World Development* **30**: 1271–1287. DOI: 10.1016/S0305-750X(02)00030-X

Zhang K, Zheng H, Chen FL, Ouyang ZY, Wang Y, Wu YF, Lan J, Fu M, Xiang XW. 2015. Changes in soil quality after converting Pinus to Eucalyptus plantations in southern China. *Solid Earth* **6**: 115-123. DOI: 10.5194/se-6-115-2015

Zulu LC, Richardson RB. 2013. Charcoal, livelihoods, and poverty reduction: Evidence from sub-Saharan Africa. *Energy for Sustainable Development* **17**: 127–137. DOI: 10.1016/j.esd.2012.07.007

Table I. Observed land cover changes from 1986-2014 in Kitui, Kenya

LUC Type	1986		1999		2005		2010		2014	
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%
Woodland	31084	70.3	30714	69.5	29180	66.0	27266	61.7	23930	54.1
Transitional woodland	9966	22.5	9018	20.4	10540	23.8	9363	21.2	11572	26.2
Farmland	3168	7.2	4487	10.1	4496	10.2	7583	17.2	8697	19.7

Table II. Accuracy assessments for the study area for the year 1986-2014

	1986		1999		2005		2010		2014	
	User's accuracy	Producer's accuracy	User's accuracy	Producer's accuracy	User's accuracy	Producer's accuracy	User's accuracy	Producer's accuracy	User's accuracy	Producer's accuracy
Woodland	84	88	97	91	98	98	93	90	94	91
Transition	89	82	75	89	93	93	69	84	75	84
Farms	75	90	78	78	93	87	100	80	93	93
Overall accuracy	86		89.5		96		87.5		89	

Figure captions

Figure 1. The location of study area within Kitui County, Kenya (Landsat image path 167 row 61). The image is in true color, based on Landsat bands 4,3 and 2.

Figure 2. An overview of the research process.

A **Woodland** *Kitheka*	**Transitional woodland** *Iyeei*	**Open areas/ farmland** *Muunda*
Areas covered by medium to tall, very thick vegetation. Canopy cover is over 50%. Species found include *Commiphora africana* A.Rich Engl. *Terminalia prunioides* Lawson and *Acacia nilotica (L)* Willd ex Del.	Land covered by short trees, grasses, thickets and shrubs. Abandoned farms are included here. Species here include *Commiphora baluensis* Engl. *Sterculia africana* Lour. Fiori, *Albizia anthelmintica* Brong.	Areas under crops, identifiable by linear, regular and rectangular shaped features on satellite image. Homesteads and naturally ocuring bare areas are included. Farms and natual open areas are classified together as they exhibit the same reflectance on satellite images

Figure 3.(a) A typical representation of the land cover classes used: woodland, transitional woodland and open areas/farmland (b) charcoal kiln and extraction road and (c) tree stumps dug out for charcoal production.

Figure 4. Woodland change from original and transitional woodlands into open areas in Kitui county, Kenya, for the period 1986-2014.

Figure 5. Location of sample plots where charcoal kilns where found and their corresponding land cover types.

Figure 6. Comparison of woodland cover loss trends calculated from community interviews and satellite imagery.

Figure 7. Households involved in charcoal making and average production per month.

Figure 8. Community perceptions on (a) the effects of charcoal making on the landscape and (b) on the environmental conditions, wealth and social changes.